

Financial Statements 31st December 2016

Company Number: 1698498



Strategic Report

The Strategic report is prepared in accordance with The Companies Act 2006 (Strategic Report and Directors' Report) Regulations 2013.

Principal activities

MUFG Securities EMEA plc ("MUS(EMEA)" or "the Company") is the international capital markets subsidiary of Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings Co., Ltd. ("MUSHD"). MUSHD's parent, and MUS(EMEA)'s ultimate holding company, is Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. ("MUFG").

MUS(EMEA) actively trades in fixed income, equity and structured finance products, providing client solutions across primary and secondary markets. The client group includes financial institutions, corporations and central banks. The Company primarily supports this client group from its base in London and additionally operates a branch in the Dubai International Financial Centre. The principal activities of the Company remain largely consistent with those disclosed in previous years.

The Company changed its legal name from Mitsubishi UFJ Securities International plc to MUFG Securities EMEA plc on 1 July 2016.

Results

The results for the year are set out on page 18 and the profit for the year, after tax, amounted to £59,899,000 (2015: £8,136,000). The financial statements of the Company are prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as adopted by the European Union.

Business review and future development

The Company has performed well with improved results across all product areas, driven by a deeper strategic client partnership with MUFG. This is a significant achievement given the low interest rate environment and general market uncertainty surrounding fixed income businesses. The results compare favourably versus those of our peer firms and given the market uncertainty during the run-up and subsequent outcome of the UK Referendum on EU Membership ("Brexit").

Rates primary and secondary desks have performed particularly well due to strong corporate demand for interest rate hedging, client demand for secured financing and better trading performances from the Rates trading areas. Strong primary activity, supported by MUFG relationships, has won a range of international bookrunner mandates delivering improved revenues across the Credit desks including

establishing our firm as a leading financial partner in the Middle East. The Structured Products business has continued to perform well supporting the core Japanese investor base with innovative products and has also been successful in diversifying revenue streams into the targeted European client base. Overall, there has been a strong and successful focus towards client activity, led by our sales force and a shift away from position taking.

Weekly trading results underscore the positive revenue trend whilst maintaining a limited increase in average Value at Risk ("VaR") metrics (refer to page 10) versus the prior year. These metrics reflect managements' focus on targeted risk taking and client-led revenues. This re-positioning of the business was highlighted in the previous annual report and the redeployment of resources, both people and capital, away from position taking and towards our client-led businesses is now largely complete.

Looking forward, the strategy centres on the increasingly deep working partnership with MUFG and its global client base. The Company is strongly focused on the delivery of existing products and services to the MUFG global franchise. Key steps in building this platform include the launch of the "one MUFG" brand, and the subsequent name change highlighted above, as well as the continued integration and co-location of staff of the Company and The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ ("BTMU"), an MUFG subsidiary.

The Company manages and monitors its capital base to ensure that sufficient capital is available to support future business plans, the firm's risk appetite and to meet regulatory requirements. During the year, the Company took steps to improve its Tier 1 Capital position by increasing its issued equity by £307m in the form of Additional Tier 1 securities (refer to note 19), there was a concurrent paydown of Tier 2 Capital (refer to note 18).

The regulatory agenda continues to evolve and the Company remains well positioned to respond to change whilst maintaining a strong culture of client service. Pending Leverage Ratio requirements under Basel III will limit the ability of the business to leverage exposures to a factor of the capital base. Management are currently executing a strategy which will mitigate aspects of this new regulation on the Company's client servicing capabilities and associated revenue generating opportunities.

The Board of Directors ("the Board") monitors the results of the Company by reference to various performance and risk based metrics including:

- Revenue metrics: total operating income of £286.3m (2015: £200.7m) with a focus on quality of earnings and tracking against revenue plans
- Efficiency metrics: total operating expenses as a percentage of total operating income of 72% (2015: 94%) with a focus on efficient cost deployment
- Profitability metrics: return on equity ("ROE") calculated as profit
 attributable to owners of the company (refer page 18), before
 adjusting for a one-off item (as outlined below), divided by the
 average of opening and closing total equity excluding AT1, of
 6% (2015: 1%) with a focus on maximising ROE in the interests of
 shareholder returns
- Capital metrics: total capital requirements based on Pillar 1 requirements of £588m (2015: £519m) with a focus on optimising capital allocation and drivers of requirements
- Risk metrics: Total Value at Risk ("VaR"), as defined in the Business and Risk Management section, of £3.4m (2015: £1.5m) with a focus on tracking VaR

Management retain a positive outlook as the Company continues to make progress against its strategic objectives and infrastructure change programme, as outlined in the internal mid-term business plans. Management continue to identify opportunities to leverage MUFG's global franchise strength whilst efficiently utilising its capital, infrastructure and staff resources within defined risk appetite parameters. Deepening relationships with our core clients and a focus on expanding the client coverage in partnership with BTMU sets firm foundations for ongoing growth of the business.

UK Prudential Regulation Authority investigation

The Company was recently subject to an investigation by the UK Prudential Regulation Authority ("PRA") into a potential breach of a notification requirement. The Company and the PRA have entered into a settlement agreement in relation to the investigation. The final notice issued by the PRA found that MUS(EMEA) breached Fundamental Rule 7 of the PRA's rulebook and imposed a financial penalty of £12.75m on the Company. The Company ultimately settled £8.925m, which included a 30% early payment discount.

Challenges and uncertainties

The Company faces a number of challenges and uncertainties in the normal course of its business. Operational risks are inherent in the

Company's business activities and are covered in more detail under Business and Risk Management Policies on pages 7 to 16. Other uncertainties faced by the Company in the course of its business include: liquidity, funding and market risks; the valuation of financial assets and liabilities in volatile markets; exposure to macro-economic and geopolitical uncertainty; and changes to regulatory rules regarding market practices and regulatory capital.

Following the outcome of the UK Referendum vote, it is clear that the political situation and hence the market outlook is less certain. The sharp decline in pound sterling does impact the Company's capital and leverage position given that much of the business conducted is global in nature, whilst the core equity capital base is denominated in pound sterling. Another consequence of Brexit is the potential loss of UK passporting and the impact on servicing MUFG's European corporate base. The Company is exploring avenues to mitigate any associated risks and is confident, given MUFG's substantial European presence, that it has options to enable the ongoing support for its European client base. Management are not aware of any specific issues faced by the Company, that are not faced by the financial services sector within the United Kingdom as a whole, and are maintaining communication with market peers, external advisors and regulators on the issue.

The Company is exposed to fluctuations in the Japanese market through its debt issuance programme and its investment in high quality Japanese Government securities. The business activities of the ultimate parent company MUFG, whilst carried out on a global level, are focused on the Japanese market. The downgrade of Japanese debt, interest rate volatility and associated monetary and fiscal policy decisions, could impact the results of the Company. Management are fully aware of these risks and have plans in place to limit the impact to the Company.

Refer to pages 7 to 16 for a comprehensive summary of the Business and Risk Management Policies.

Timether

Richard House Company Secretary 25 Ropemaker Street London EC2Y 9AJ 10 March 2017

Directors' Report

The Directors present their annual report and financial statements for the year ended 31st December 2016.

Directors

The Directors during the year were as follows:

William Fall	Chairman	
Takami Onodera	Non-Executive Director	
Diane Moore	Independent Non-Executive Director	
Stephen Jack	Independent Non-Executive Director	
A. M. R. (Mike) Aynsley	Independent Non-Executive Director	(appointed 15 June 2016, resigned 31 December 2016)
Masamichi Yasuda	Non-Executive Director	
Masahiro Kuwahara	Non-Executive Director	(appointed 16 June 2016)
Masato Miyachi	Non-Executive Director	(resigned 15 June 2016)
Nobuyuki Uchida	Non-Executive Director	(resigned 1 October 2016)
David King	Chief Executive Officer	
Christopher Kyle	Chief Financial Officer	
Arthur Maycock	Chief Risk Officer	

Non-Executive Directors are employed by another MUFG company and undertake other roles within the group, in addition to their directorship of the Company. In accordance with the UK Corporate Governance Code 2014 definition of independence the Non-Executive Directors are deemed independent.

A.M.R. (Mike) Aynsley served the full term of his 1-year contract which started in January 2016 (formally appointed as director on 15th June 2016).

Independent Chairman

William Fall continues his appointment as Independent Chairman and Non-Executive Director. Mr. Fall will play a key role in a number of strategic initiatives relating to oversight of business strategy, client activity, culture and collaboration with other MUFG entities.

Directors' and officers' indemnities

The Company maintained insurance against liabilities for all Directors and officers of the Company during the financial year and at the date of this report.

Dividend

The Directors recommend that no dividend be paid for the year ended 31st December 2016 (2015: £nil).

Use of financial instruments

The trading and issuance of financial instruments is integral to the business activities of the Company. Information regarding the use of financial instruments is included within the Business and Risk Management Policies on pages 7 to 16.

Compensation

Certain employees' discretionary remuneration is deferred where the reward exceeds thresholds set by the Remuneration Committee, which meet the guidelines set out by the PRA. Some of these deferrals take the form of Notional Stock Units ("NSU"), which track the performance of MUFG shares (refer to Note 6).

Employees

It is the policy of the Company to give full and fair consideration to applications for employment from disabled persons, to continue $\frac{1}{2}$

wherever possible the employment of members of staff who may become disabled and to ensure that suitable training, career development and promotion are encouraged.

The Company places considerable value on the involvement of its employees, has continued to keep them informed on personnel policies or issues, matters affecting them as employees and on the various factors affecting the performance of the Company. This is achieved through formal and informal meetings, the Company intranet and regular internal communications. Employee representatives are consulted regularly on a wide range of matters affecting their current and future interests. These communications help to achieve a common awareness amongst employees of the financial and economic factors affecting the performance of the Company and the broader MUFG companies.

A Diversity and Inclusion working group has been established with the objective of maintaining and preserving our culture of high performance in a workplace that respects, appreciates and values individual differences. A formal Diversity and Inclusion Policy has been published internally, with employee demographics reviewed and emerging themes considered.

Corporate social responsibility

The Company coordinates and manages practices to maximise its positive social contribution and impact on the surrounding environment. The Company's volunteer programme enables employees to use their skills for the benefit of the local community and to inspire future generations. The Company also supports a number of charities through donations and continues to leverage employees' charitable activities through well established matched funding and "Give As You Earn" schemes. The Company's focus for 2017 will be to continue to promote a culture of integrity and social responsibility by maintaining the programme.

Disclosure of information to the auditor

The Directors who held office at the date of approval of this Directors' Report confirm that, so far as they are each aware, there is no relevant audit information of which the Company's auditor is unaware; and each Director has taken all the steps that they ought to have taken as a director to make themself aware of any relevant audit information and to establish that the Company's auditor is aware of the same information. This confirmation is given and should

be interpreted in accordance with the provisions of s418 of the Companies Act 2006.

Deloitte LLP have expressed their willingness to continue in office as auditor and a resolution to reappoint them will be proposed at the forthcoming Annual General Meeting.

By order of the Board

Timether

Richard House Company Secretary 25 Ropemaker Street London EC2Y 9AJ 10 March 2017

Statement of Directors' Responsibilities

Statement of Directors' Responsibilities in Respect of the Strategic Report, Directors' Report and the Financial Statements

The Directors are responsible for preparing the Strategic Report, the Directors' Report and the financial statements in accordance with applicable law and regulations.

Company law requires the Directors to prepare financial statements for each financial year. Under that law the Directors have elected to prepare the financial statements in accordance with IFRS as adopted by the European Union.

Under company law the Directors must not approve the financial statements unless they are satisfied that they give a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the company and of the profit or loss of the company for that period. In preparing these financial statements, International Accounting Standard 1 requires that Directors:

- properly select and apply accounting policies
- present information, including accounting policies, in a manner that provides relevant, reliable, comparable and understandable information
- provide additional disclosures when compliance with the specific requirements in IFRSs are insufficient to enable users to understand the impact of particular transactions, other events and conditions on the entity's financial position and financial performance, and
- make an assessment of the Company's ability to continue as a going concern

The Directors are responsible for keeping adequate accounting records that are sufficient to show and explain the Company's transactions and disclose with reasonable accuracy at any time the financial position of the Company and enable them to ensure that the financial statements comply with the Companies Act 2006. They are also responsible for safeguarding the assets of the Company and hence for taking reasonable steps for the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities.

The Directors are responsible for the maintenance and integrity of the corporate and financial information included on the Company's website. Legislation in the United Kingdom governing the preparation and dissemination of financial statements may differ from legislation in other jurisdictions.

By order of the Board

Timber

Richard House Company Secretary 25 Ropemaker Street London EC2Y 9AJ 10 March 2017

Business and Risk Management Policies

Risk Management Framework

The Company maintains positions in financial instruments as an integral part of daily market activities. These positions are held as part of portfolios that are maintained and monitored by instrument or risk type. The risk appetite is set by the Board and individual trading areas are allocated risk limits based on a wide range of market factors and are required to maintain portfolios within those limits. As such they are responsible for maintaining hedges in the portfolios.

A more detailed explanation of risk strategy and factors is given below.

Committees and Corporate Structure

1 Roard

The responsibility for risk management resides with the Board, with support from the Board Risk Committee ("BRC"). As part of the Company's business strategy, the Board considers the risks to which the Company is exposed, and specifies an appetite and management strategy for each of these risks. The primary financial, operational and reputational risks are defined and discussed in further detail in the following sections.

The Board has approved an enterprise-wide risk management framework for the Company which describes the Company's approach to risk appetite, strategy, governance, reporting and controls to ensure that risks taken are appropriately measured, monitored, reported, controlled and limited to the confines of the Company's risk appetite. The Board is ultimately responsible for reviewing the adequacy of the enterprise-wide risk management framework. The Directors consider that the framework currently in place is adequate.

2. Board Risk Committee

The objective of the BRC is to exercise oversight on behalf of the Board over the key risks facing the Company and to review and make recommendations to the Board on the Company's risk appetite and risk strategy, risk management framework (incorporating principles, policies, methodologies, systems, processes, procedures and people), and risk culture to ensure that it supports the Company's risk appetite.

As at 31st December 2016, the Committee comprised of the Independent Non-Executive Directors, including the Chairman of the Board. The Committee is supported by the regular attendance of the Chief Risk Officer ("CRO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"). Regulatory change and reviewing the Company's preparation to comply with new rules, such as initial margin requirements for uncleared swaps, continue to be a key area for the Committee. The Committee focussed on a number of topics during the year including: preparations and stresses arising from political changes such as the UK referendum on membership of the EU; the overall risk appetite of the Company and the risk profile of each of the Company's business lines; the Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process.

3. Risk structure and other committees

Day-to-day risk management of all risks, with the exception of compliance, conduct, legal and reputational risk, resides with the CRO, who reports directly to the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and the BRC. Market, credit, operational, and model risk are overseen by the Risk Management Committee ("RMC") supported by its underlying working groups.

Valuation risk is overseen by the Traded Products Valuation Committee ("TPVC"). Liquidity and capital risk is overseen by the Asset and Liability Committee ("ALCO"). Compliance, conduct and legal risk are overseen by the Regulatory Compliance Committee. Day-to-day risk management of compliance risk and conduct risk resides with the Head of Compliance, who reports directly to the CEO. Legal risk management resides with the Head of Legal, who reports directly to the CEO. Reputational risk management resides with the CEO and the Executive Committee.

Each of these executive sub-committees report to the Executive Committee, which reports directly to the Board. In addition, the RMC reports to the BRC.

Business and Risk Management (continued)

Risk Management Structure Board Risk Audit muneration Adjustment Committee et & Liability Regulatory Compli Day to Day Risk Management Structure Chief Executive Officer MLRO Head of Chief Risk Officer Head of Legal Credit Risk Legal Risk Analytics Group Treasury³ Prudential Risk Operational Risk Management Product Control* Enterprise Risk Market Risk Management

Liquidity and Valuation Risk

The Company's risk committee and corporate structure as at 31st December 2016 is illustrated below:

*Operationally Treasury, Finance and Product Control report to the CFO but their risk is reported to the CRO.

Market, Credit, Operational, Model and Capital Risk

Three Lines of Defence

Type

The Company's governance of risk is based on the "Three Lines of Defence" approach:

Business and Strategic Risk

1. Business Management – Front Office and functional support departments

Department Heads and all Front Office staff are responsible for:

- Managing the risks inherent in their business activities
- Supervision, ensuring competence and training of their staff
- Escalating risk issues to the Executive Committee, Management Committee, RMC, or the Operational Controls Committee ("OCC").

2. Challenge and Risk Control - Risk Departments and other control support departments

- Independent of Front Office, led by the CRO and CFO
- Enable the Company to maintain a system of checks and balances
- Escalate risk issues to the RMC, TPVC, ALCO, OCC and where appropriate to the Executive Committee

 The Risk function and the RMC have a reporting line to the BRC, independent of the CEO.

Regulatory, Compliance, and Conduct Risk

3. Assurance - Internal Audit

Legal Risk

- Assurance role carried out by Internal Audit
- Independent opinion to Senior Management and the Audit Committee of the Board
- Objective appraisal of the adequacy and effectiveness of the internal control systems designed and installed by Senior Management and their remediation
- Reports to Senior Management on whether the control systems are fulfilling, or are likely to fulfil, the control objectives of the Company.

Risk Appetite

Central to the Company's risk management is a clear risk appetite, consistent with its business profile and plans, as well as a strong and independent review and challenge structure. This facilitates optimisation

of risk/return and assists Senior Management to effectively control and coordinate risk taking across the business. The Company's risk appetite is specified by the Board through a number of metrics including capital, liquidity, earnings volatility, market and credit risk. It is reviewed at regular meetings of the Board and reset annually as part of the Company's budget and planning process. The risk appetite is cascaded through the Company via the allocation of limits to front office departments and individual traders

Risk limits impose an upper constraint on the level of exposure to a particular factor or a combination of factors. Limits are imposed to express the Board and Senior Management's appetite for certain risk types and to facilitate prudent allocation of such risk appetite to individual risk takers or group of risk takers, taking client needs and revenue targets into consideration. These are set at the Company, business unit, department, and trader level and risk limits are monitored daily.

The establishment of the risk appetite is largely a top down process and is supplemented and reinforced by a bottom up approach to risk identification, the results of which are maintained in the Company's risk register.

The Company establishes and is subject to risk policies. These policies formalise the behaviours and standards expected in support of the risk culture. Policies are established across each primary risk type to formalise the processes by which business activities should fall within the appetite for each risk. Additionally, risk policies are established to ensure quality of risk measurement, risk monitoring, and appropriate avenues for escalation to occur.

The Company has established formal processes governing New Business, Complex Transactions and New Products which support the identification of any additional risk to the Company, and ensures that the risks related to the proposal are within the risk appetite of the Company and has the support of the Company's risk management functions.

Capital Adequacy

The Company monitors its capital adequacy on an ongoing basis and conducts a formal annual Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process ("ICAAP") in which it assesses its risks, controls and capital.

The Board is involved in all the key elements of the ICAAP and approves the business and capital plans, Risk Appetite Statement, stress testing framework and submission of the ICAAP document. The ICAAP process is closely aligned with the strategy setting and business planning process as well as the process for identification, measurement and control of those risks.

Stress testing is used to assess the impact of severe but plausible financial stress on either individual or multiple risk factors and to determine appropriate capital buffers. The Company manages its risk and capital resources with the objective of maintaining a regulatory ratio comfortably in excess of the minimum capital resources required by the regulators.

Stress Testing

The Company has a stress testing framework that includes scenario

stress testing (comprising macroeconomic and event stress testing based upon forward looking, historical and reverse stress testing), as well as single risk factor stress tests (which are designed to identify and quantify risk concentrations to particular risk factors). Results of stress testing are calculated at the Company level and also by department and business line, and reported regularly to Senior Management.

Risk Management by Risk Type

Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of losses from movements in market prices in the trading portfolio. The Company uses a variety of risk measures to quantify and control this risk, with the overall objective of ensuring that potential losses arising from market risk remain within the appetite set by the Board:

- Value at Risk ("VaR"), Stressed Value at Risk ("SVaR"), and Incremental Risk Charge ("IRC") measures provide aggregate indicators of potential losses, subject to stated confidence levels and holding periods
- Risk factor sensitivities measure the impact of moves in each risk factor, allowing concentrations of risk to be identified and controlled
- Stress testing is used to monitor and control the exposure of the portfolio to extreme moves in market rates and prices A range of stress tests is run, covering exposures to relevant market factors and scenarios in various market conditions
- Stop loss and drawdown limits monitor actual losses at Company, business unit. department, and trader level.

The Company makes use of a range of internal models for the quantification of market risk.

VaR Modelling

The VaR of a trading book is an estimate of the potential loss on risk positions as a result of movements in market rates and prices over a specific time horizon and to a given confidence level.

The Company uses VaR methodologies to monitor the price risks arising from different trading books across portfolios. This is measured based on a 99% confidence level and a 1-day holding period.

Actual profit and loss outcomes are also monitored to test the validity of the assumptions made in the calculation of VaR. The VaR outputs are based on a full revaluation historical simulation model and a 2-year data window.

The Company additionally calculates a stressed VaR measure using an appropriately stressed 1-year lookback period as required by regulatory rules

VaR Backtesting

The Company carries out a daily comparison of end of day VaR measures to the 1-day change of the portfolio's value on the day the profit and loss figures are produced. In 2016 the number of occasions on which actual trading book outcomes exceeded the previous day's VaR was within the acceptable tolerances of the model.

Business and Risk Management (continued)

Stressed VaR

The Company calculates stressed VaR based on inputs calibrated to historical data from a continuous twelve-month period of significant financial stress relevant to the Company's portfolio.

Risks Not In VaR

The Company calculates additional capital under its Risks Not In VaR framework for certain risk factors that are not fully captured in VaR.

Incremental Risk Charge

The Company calculates IRC which captures risk from the default and rating migration of non-securitised credit exposures in the trading book. The IRC is calculated daily and is included in regulatory capital calculations. IRC is calculated using a Monte Carlo model of portfolio rating migration and default. Risk is measured over a 1-year horizon to a confidence level of 99.9% and is calculated on current positions assuming that risk will be at similar levels throughout the year.

VaR considered in isolation has limitations which are listed below in further detail. The Company also uses a wide range of other risk limits, for example stop-loss limits, risk factor sensitivity limits or stress limits, to manage its exposures.

The Company's VaR has the following limitations:

- Calculations are based on historical data which may not be the best estimate of risk factor changes that will occur in the future
- In transforming historical data into future scenarios the Company makes assumptions that may not be the best estimate of how changes will occur in the future
- Focusing on the maximum loss that is expected to be incurred 99%
 of the time says little about the smaller losses that are expected to be
 incurred more frequently, or the larger losses in excess of VaR that are
 expected to be incurred 1% of the time
- VaR is generally based on calculations performed at the end of each business day. The end-of-day figure may not be representative of the figure at other times of the day.

The following table shows VaR figures for 2016 and 2015. The breakdown in terms of different risk factors is as described below. The "Close" column shows the VaR at the year end date. The "Average" column shows the average VaR measurement from each trading day in the year and the "Maximum" and "Minimum" columns show the highest and lowest VaR value in the year respectively. "Diversification benefit" is the difference between the simple sum of the VaRs for each risk factor, and the Company's overall VaR, which is based on the simultaneous modelling of all risk factors.

As at 31 December 2016	Close	Average	Maximum	Minimum
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Interest Rate Curve Risk	1.2	2.0	3.8	0.6
Interest Rate Vega Risk	2.0	2.5	5.0	0.6
Asset Spread Risk	1.7	1.1	3.3	0.6
Currency Risk	1.6	1.0	2.4	0.3
Equity Price Risk	1.3	0.7	2.5	0.1
Equity Vega Risk	0.7	0.7	1.7	0.2
Inflation Risk	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.1
Basis Risk	2.9	1.6	3.0	1.0
Diversification benefit	(8.4)	(6.9)	n/a	n/a
Total VaR	3.4	3.0	5.0	1.7

As at 31 December 2015	Close £m	Average £m	Maximum £m	Minimum £m
Laborato Data Como a Diale				
Interest Rate Curve Risk	0.6	1.3	3.7	0.4
Interest Rate Vega Risk	0.7	1.2	2.2	0.4
Asset Spread Risk	0.9	1.2	2.6	0.7
Currency Risk	0.6	1.1	2.2	0.4
Equity Price Risk	0.6	1.0	3.0	0.3
Equity Vega Risk	0.5	0.8	1.7	0.3
Inflation Risk	0.2	0.3	0.7	0.2
Basis Risk	1.1	0.9	1.3	0.6
Diversification benefit	(3.7)	(5.2)	n/a	n/a
Total VaR	1.5	2.6	4.9	1.3

Interest Rate Curve Risk

The risk of losses arising from changes in market interest rates.

Interest Rate Vega Risk

The risk of losses arising from changes in the market price of interest rate options (i.e. implied rate volatility).

Asset Spread Risk

The risk of losses due to the market price of bonds and credit derivatives attributable to changes in such factors as perceived credit quality or liquidity, as distinct from price changes attributable solely to market interest rates.

Currency Risk

The risk of losses arising from changes in market FX rates, as well as the market prices of FX options (i.e. implied FX volatility).

Equity Price Risk

The risk of losses due to price movements in the equity market.

Equity Vega Risk

The risk of losses arising from changes in the market price of equity options (i.e. implied equity volatility).

Inflation Risk

The risk of losses arising from the changes in the market prices of inflation derivatives, or from price changes in inflation-linked securities attributable to inflation.

Basis Risk

The risk of losses arising from changes in market prices for cross currency basis, tenor basis, and other basis swaps in the interest rate market.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk of loss resulting from client, issuer or counterparty default and arises on credit exposure in all forms, including settlement risk.

The Company manages its credit risks in accordance with policies originated and approved within the Company and endorsed by its parent company. Counterparty exposure is managed through a process of credit risk assessment, limit setting, exposure monitoring and exception reporting.

The Company assesses the default probabilities of individual counterparties by using a rating methodology incorporating external ratings, the market price of credit risk and internal fundamental analysis.

Day-to-day responsibility for the management of credit risk resides with the Credit Risk Management department, which is organisationally independent from the front office departments, and the Risk Analytics Group which is responsible for the design of new credit risk management models. Daily credit risk reports are prepared for Senior Management and trading departments using the Company's in-house and vendor systems. Their objective is to:

- Identify, quantify, monitor and control credit risk exposure
- Provide sufficient, timely and relevant data of credit risk exposure by

counterparty across all product classes and against each respective approved credit limit

- Maintain static data for all counterparties
- Produce timely credit risk reports as appropriate
- Mitigate credit risk by receiving collateral in accordance with the Company's Collateral Policy
- Provide credit portfolio monitoring and analysis.

On a monthly basis, Credit Risk Management reports the Company's total credit risk exposure to the RMC, including a review of large exposures, exposures to lower rated issuers and counterparties, and exposure to higher risk industry and country sectors. The RMC is also the forum where credit policies are reviewed and finally approved.

In addition to the RMC, a summary of the Company's credit risk exposure is also reported monthly to the BRC.

Credit exposure is normally measured on a net basis, i.e. by taking account of received collateral and aggregating trades with both positive and negative values provided that a legally enforceable master netting agreement has been executed that permits close-out netting. To mitigate credit risk, the Company has Credit Support Annexes in place with the majority of its counterparties and guarantee arrangements in place with members of MUFG; risk is managed net of these guarantees.

An analysis of the Company's credit exposures is included in Note 22.

Credit Concentration Risk

Credit concentration risk is the risk arising from an uneven distribution of exposures, through single name, sector or geographical concentration. The Company analyses the credit concentrations through its daily credit exposure reports. The Company's exposures are concentrated on government bonds, the financial sector and exposures to Japanese markets and counterparties.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company has insufficient resources to meet its financial obligations as they fall due. This risk could arise from both institution-specific and market-wide events.

Oversight

The ultimate responsibility for liquidity risk management sits with the Board which sets the Company's liquidity risk appetite, which expresses the level of risk the Company chooses to take in pursuit of its strategic objectives. The Board mandate to the Executive Committee in respect of liquidity risk includes specification of liquidity stress testing, approval of business line unsecured funding limits, transfer pricing rates/policy and the contingency funding plan.

The Executive Committee has determined the powers and discretions delegated to the ALCO which meets monthly or on an ad-hoc basis (as appropriate) to:

- Review and define the funding and liquidity risk policy
- Monitor the Company's liquidity risk profile and review compliance with the Board approved liquidity risk appetite
- Oversee and review stress testing

Business and Risk Management (continued)

- Measure, monitor and mitigate liquidity risk exposures for the Company
- Ensure that appropriate business incentives are maintained that reflect the cost and availability of liquidity through the Company's Fund Transfer Pricing ("FTP") process and unsecured funding limit allocation process
- Review critical liquidity risk factors and prioritise issues arising
- Determine the Company's funding plans and funding diversification strategy in light of business projections and objectives.

The Company uses a variety of quantitative and qualitative measures to monitor the adequacy of the Company's liquidity resources and to ensure an integrated approach to liquidity risk management. This framework incorporates a range of tools described below:

Internal Stress Testing

The Company's primary liquidity stress testing tool is the Maximum Cumulative Outflow, which is designed to capture all material drivers of liquidity risk (both on and off balance sheet) and to evaluate the subsequent liquidity outflow in order to determine the size of liquidity resources needed to navigate the stress event. The model has been developed using scenarios based on market practice, regulatory requirements and past experience in stressed market conditions. It is based on a synthesis of scenarios categorised as baseline (reflective of normal business conditions), systemic (refers to a market-wide liquidity event) and combined (analogous of a combined market and Company specific stress event). Stress testing is conducted on both a material and combined currency basis.

Fund Transfer Pricing

The Company seeks to align its liquidity risk appetite with the strategic objectives of the business through regulating the demand for liquidity and allocating the cost of liquidity on the basis of unsecured funding usage and underlying liquidity requirements. The ALCO is responsible for the FTP policy framework, and Treasury is responsible for the day to day application of the FTP framework. The cost of funding is allocated to businesses on the basis of the funding requirements to finance current inventory positions and ongoing business activities. The cost of liquidity reserved to cover contingent liquidity outflows is also allocated to the business – this includes liquidity reserved to cover regulatory liquidity requirements.

Funding Plan

The balance sheet projection process balances aggregate business line requests for unsecured funding against Treasury's assessment of the projected balance sheet, funding requirements and capacity for the Company to raise unsecured financing. The ALCO will review and approve funding plans including allocation of funding limits to business lines. This ensures that business activities do not impose an unknown strain on the Company's ability to source adequate liquidity in normal business conditions, and allows Treasury to plan and sustain appropriate levels of liquidity in anticipation of business line funding usage. As part of funding liquidity risk monitoring, Treasury looks at the short and long term currency mismatch horizons in accordance with the Board's guideline.

Liquid Asset Buffer

The liquidity requirement is quantified through both the internal stress testing framework and regulatory requirement. The Company holds its

liquidity portfolio in a stock of high quality government bonds and bonds issued by multi-lateral development banks, local government and agency issuers. The liquidity portfolio is held on an unencumbered basis without restrictions on rehypothecation and with full Company legal ownership. The investment criteria for the liquidity portfolio are approved by ALCO with risk limits imposed and monitored by Market Risk Management.

Contingency Funding Plan

The Contingency Funding Plan ("CFP") allows Senior Management to identify internal and external triggers indicative of a stress event, and to initiate the most effective response for stabilising and mitigating liquidity risk exposures through clear operational plans, clearly defined decision making responsibilities and effective communication with both internal and external stakeholders. The CFP also specifies the means through which additional funding should be sourced during a period of heightened liquidity concern.

The Company also maintains detailed recovery plans which consider actions to facilitate recovery or an orderly resolution from a severe stress.

Liquidity Stage Assessment

The principal assessment framework within the Funding Liquidity Risk Management Policy is the liquidity stage assessment. This is a formal assessment of the external environment affecting the Company and other companies within the MUSHD Group.

The liquidity stage is determined by an evaluation of the availability of funding and is monitored through a combination of early warning indicators, the Company's internal stress testing and compliance with regulatory liquidity requirements. Elevation of the liquidity stage is specifically linked to activation of the CFP, which provides a range of mitigating actions to be taken. Such actions are taken following consideration of any relevant market, economic or client impact. In the event the liquidity stage is elevated, formal approval is required from the ALCO, which will in turn escalate and sanction actions as appropriate. Monitoring of the liquidity stage is conducted at Company and MUSHD level on an on-going basis. Any elevation of liquidity stage risk at the MUSHD level is deemed to represent a worsening of conditions that would impact the Company too. The Funding Liquidity Risk Policy identifies general contingency actions to be taken by departments at each stage.

Asset Encumbrance

Asset encumbrance arises from collateral pledged against secured funding and other collateralised obligations. Due to the nature of its business the Company funds a portion of debt securities via repurchase agreements and other similar secured borrowing. Additionally debt securities and cash are provided to meet initial and variation margin requirements from central clearing counterparts and margin requirements arising from derivative and repurchase agreements.

The Company monitors the mix of secured and unsecured funding sources and seeks to efficiently utilise collateral to raise secured funding and meet other collateralised obligations.

Regulation

The Company assesses liquidity adequacy as part of its Internal Liquidity Adequacy Assessment Process that it submits to the

Company's regulator. The Company's compliance with prevailing regulatory liquidity requirements including the liquidity coverage ratio are complemented by the internal stress testing framework. The Company manages its liquidity prudently, holding buffer assets well in excess of the regulatory requirement.

Capital Risk

Capital risk is the risk that the Company has insufficient capital resources to meet the capital requirements that are incurred through execution of the business plan.

The Company aims to manage and control its exposure to Capital Risk through its policies and procedures with the objectives of:

- Holding sufficient capital resources to support the risks in which the Company engages
- Identifying an appropriate capital plan to ensure that this objective is maintained over the three year business plan horizon
- Managing the relative proportions of the constituent parts of capital resources such that the Company meets these objectives in an efficient manner

The ALCO has primary responsibility for overseeing the Company's capital management. The RMC has secondary responsibility due to the direct impact of market, credit, operational and other risks on capital requirements and capital resources. The Company measures key capital sensitivities and analysis of drivers of change in capital adequacy which are regularly reported to the ALCO and the RMC.

The Company assesses capital risk against minimum regulatory requirements and internal targets at Company level, supported by assessments of capital requirements at business level against internal targets. Capital risk assessments are reported regularly to the ALCO and the RMC. Capital risk reports are circulated to Senior Management daily and are discussed at Board and Committee level.

The Company determines and maintains a capital planning buffer to reduce the risk of having to raise capital or reduce business at short notice. The Company's objective is to manage capital to withstand severe but plausible stresses without the need to significantly alter the business. This capital planning buffer is determined on the basis of appropriate stresses to the Company's business.

Model Risk

Model risk is the potential for loss arising from model-based output that has invalid assumptions or that has been incorrectly implemented.

The firm manages model risk by having a segregation of duties between model development and validation of the model. There are governance working groups that oversee the models used by the Company. In the case of risk models, the Model Oversight Working Group ("MOWG"), which reports to the RMC, is responsible for reviewing the output of ongoing validation and for model performance. The VWG oversees the use of pricing models. The independent validation of models is performed by the Independent Risk Validation function which is part of the Company's Internal Audit department and has membership on the MOWG and the VWG.

Operational Risk

Operational risk is defined as the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events, including legal risk. The Company aims to manage and control its exposure to Operational Risk, and through its policies and procedures, the Company targets to ensure that it:

- Mitigates the risk of exposure to fraud
- Processes transactions correctly, accurately and on a timely basis
- Protects the integrity and availability of information processing facilities, infrastructure and data
- Maintains the confidentiality of its client information
- Employs appropriate numbers of skilled staff and complies with relevant employment laws and regulations
- Establishes workplace environments that are safe for both employees and visitors
- Reduces both the likelihood of an incident occurring and the impact should an incident occur.

The Company employs The Standardised Approach ("TSA") for calculating its Pillar 1 Operational Risk Capital Requirement. The Company is committed to adopting leading industry practices for managing and measuring Operational Risk, and has also developed a scenario based capital model to determine whether it should hold any additional capital for Operational Risk.

Operational Risk Management Framework

In order to facilitate the management of Operational Risk, the Company sub-divides it into the seven Basel II categories, i.e.:

- 1. Execution, delivery and process management
- 2. Clients, products and business practices
- 3. Internal fraud risks
- 4. External fraud risks
- 5. Employment practices and workplace safety
- 6. Business disruption and systems failures
- 7. Damage to physical assets.

The Operational Risk Management framework is defined within the Company's policies and detailed standards, and comprises of the following key elements:

- Risk appetite: The Company has defined its Operational Risk Appetite in both quantitative and qualitative terms, reflecting both the financial and non-financial impacts that can arise from operational risk
- **Self-assessments:** Managers within the Company assess the effectiveness of their controls at mitigating the key operational risks, relative to the Company's appetite
- Key control attestations: Managers confirm regularly that their key controls have operated correctly
- Scenario analysis: The Company uses scenario analysis to assess the risks of extreme but plausible events
- Keyrisk & control indicators: These metrics are used by the Company
 to monitor its operational risk profile and to alert management when
 risk levels exceed acceptable ranges

Business and Risk Management (continued)

- Incidents & losses: The Company systematically collects details of both operational risk losses (or gains) above a certain threshold and also details of incidents, even if they have not led to losses (or gains)
- Remedial actions: Progress in completing remedial actions is tracked and reported
- Reporting: Reports are used by the operational risk function and management to understand, monitor, manage and control operational risks
- Insurance policies: As part of its risk management approach, the Company also uses insurance to mitigate the impact of some operational risks
- Training: Staff are required to undertake annual mandatory on-line operational risk awareness training.

The Company has a dedicated Operational Risk Management function supported by representatives from the key control and support functions who attend monthly Operational Risk Working Group meetings. Issues of significance are escalated at the Operational Controls Committee, which also meets on a monthly basis and is attended by members of Senior Management and heads of the control functions.

Pension Risk

Pension risk is the risk that there is a shortfall in the value of the assets of the defined benefit pension scheme relative to its liabilities. The main risk is that the assets that the pension scheme holds decline significantly and there is no offsetting change in liabilities or that there is a large rise in the value of the liabilities without an offsetting rise in assets.

The Company's defined benefit pension scheme was closed to new members on 2nd July 1999. The assets held are not an exact match to the liabilities. A mandatory actuarial valuation of the fund is carried out every three years for the pension trustees. The Statement of Funding Principles of the scheme requires a recovery plan to eliminate any funding deficit over the next 10 years or sooner. The scheme was closed to future accrual on 31st January 2011. This action reduced the future growth of the estimated liabilities of the defined benefit scheme. The Company calculates its pension risk on an annual basis as part of its ICAAP process and holds capital to mitigate against the possibility of a material deficit in its pension fund.

Further details on the Company's pension scheme can be found in Note 7.

Business Risk

Business risk is the sensitivity between expected revenues and expected costs. It is a measure of how easily the cost base can be managed in relation to lower than expected revenues. The risk of doing business is categorised as the volatility of the business planning forecast compared to the realised revenue which is dependent on the market environment.

Strategic Risk

Strategic risk is the risk of loss that may arise from the pursuit of an unsuccessful business plan including insufficient diversification of revenue sources. Strategic risk is a necessary consequence of doing business and covers a number of financial risk types. Strategic risks are generally longer term risks whereas shorter term risks will usually be captured as part of business risk. The Company's primary approach to the management of strategic risk is through its business planning processes

which highlight the key dependencies of its strategy, which allows for the assessment of strategic risk at the point that the strategy is devised and agreed. The Company's programme of qualitative reverse stress testing is intended to focus on key strategic risks, identifying scenarios that could lead to their realisation as well as contingent actions that could be taken to address their emergence and mitigate the impact of the strategic risk being realised.

Compliance Risk

Compliance risk is the risk of damage to the Company by failing to comply with financial services regulations, rules, guidelines, industry codes of conduct, organisation standards, professional ethics, Board and Senior Management standards or guidelines and other codes of conduct applicable to its business activities.

The Company's businesses are managed to achieve alignment between compliance risk profile and compliance risk appetite. Risk appetite is clearly defined and reflects the Company's strategy and values. Decision making is based on a thorough understanding of compliance risks, supported by robust analytics and measurement capabilities.

The Company maintains a governance structure that ensures appropriate management, oversight and assurance of significant risks and associated mitigation strategies, including, a compliance function with sufficient authority, stature, independence, resources and access to the Board. Accountability for compliance is shared by groups across the Company with front office and back office departments who own their respective compliance risks. The Compliance function is accountable for oversight of compliance controls; and the Internal Audit function accountable for providing independent assurance. The Company's compliance and internal control infrastructures evolve with changes to its risk profile, including its growth, and to the external regulatory landscape.

Conduct Risk

Conduct risk is the risk of damage to the Company's corporate value as a result of negative impact on public benefit, effective competition, market integrity or customer protection due to the inappropriate execution of our business activities through failure to comply with laws and regulations, breach of a social norm, improper business or market practice or lack of clients' viewpoints.

Effective identification and management of Conduct Risk is a key aspect of the Company's future success. Appropriate and demonstrable conduct risk management is not only an expectation of the regulators, it will additionally promote enhancement of the relationships the Company has with its clients. The Company has implemented a Conduct Risk Management Framework in response to regulatory demands for firms to efficiently identify, document and manage their conduct risks through an auditable process. Individual steps were previously in place but are now consolidated under the framework as follows:

- Compliance policies, front office desk procedures and a conduct risk operating framework and strategy
- A conduct risk appetite which defines the amount and type of conduct risk that the Board are willing to seek, accept or tolerate in order to achieve the firms' strategic objectives and business plan

- An operational framework to support the continuous process of conduct risk identification and assessment
- A formal compliance monitoring programme to review the effectiveness of key controls to mitigate potential conduct risk exposure
- Production and analysis of conduct risk management information
- Company-wide conduct risk training and awareness programme.

Legal Risk

Legal risk is the risk of loss or damage to the firm by failing to comply with any laws, regulations or contractual obligations applicable to its business activities or failure to take appropriate steps to manage legal claims or actions.

The Company manages legal risk by compliance with all applicable laws and regulations and promoting honesty and integrity from all staff. It seeks to promote prudent business growth and profitability through the rigorous control of legal and regulatory risks in support of the wider objectives of the Company. The Company has an established permanent Legal function that is independent of business activities and has sufficient resources to carry out its role including:

- Identification of the main legal and regulatory risk issues affecting
 the business, recommending how these will be managed and,
 where appropriate, elevating residual risks to the relevant front office
 department, risk management department or the Board and its subcommittees
- Identifying and advising on legal and regulatory change and its impact on the business and assisting with scoping and implementation of mitigating systems, controls and infrastructure
- Managing legal and regulatory risk through due diligence, review of contracts and transactions, negotiation of transaction documentation and the management of all legal and regulatory actions.

Reputational Risk

Reputational risk is the risk of loss resulting from damage to the Company's reputation which can result in lost revenue, increased operating costs, capital or regulatory burden; leading to destruction of shareholder value. It is usually a secondary risk which exacerbates the loss from another risk type. The Company's business is dependent on its reputation and it will impact its performance should it deteriorate. The Company has a Reputational Risk Framework, policy and controls to mitigate the impact and reduce the likelihood of reputational incidents. Such incidents can occur in any type of risk from market through to operational, or from external risks over which the Company has no direct control. The Reputational Risk Management Policy sets out how the risk of reputational events is managed.

Status of Regulatory Capital Resources

The Company's regulatory capital resources are assessed under the Capital Requirements Regulation and the Capital Requirements Directive IV. The Company's capital consists of Tier 1 – share capital, Additional Tier 1 capital and retained earnings, and Tier 2 – subordinated debt which is fixed term and denominated in Japanese Yen.

The Company manages its risk profile and its capital resources with the objective of maintaining a capital ratio in excess of the Capital Resources

Requirement for its risk profile at all times. The management of the Company's capital is carried out under the principle that it should not unexpectedly need to raise new capital or significantly reduce its risk taking in order to meet its capital management objectives.

The Company restructured its capital base this year with the objective of improving the quality of its capital to comply with regulatory rules over the planning horizon. Post PRA approval the capital restructuring was implemented on 15th December 2016 and the following took place:

- Three existing Tier 2 subordinated loans totalling JPY 119bn with maturity of less than 5 years were repaid and replaced with two new Tier 2 subordinated loans totalling JPY 44bn with maturity of 10 years
- New Additional Tier 1 of JPY 45bn equivalent has been issued in GBP with an amount of £307m (with a trigger for conversion set at a Common Equity Tier 1/Risk Weighted Assets Ratio of 7.0%).

The Company's capital quality and capital adequacy improved as a result of the execution of the capital restructuring actions and the Company has fulfilled its capital requirements at all times during the year. The Company's capital resources, Pillar 1 capital requirements and capital ratios for 2016 and 2015 are shown in the table on page 16.

Business and Risk Management (continued)

	2016	2015
As at 31 December	£m	£m
Total Common Equity Tier 1 Capital after Deductions	1,009	980
Additional Tier 1 Capital after Deductions	302	-
Total Tier 2 Capital after Deductions	259	658
Total Capital Resources	1,570	1,638

The Company's Pillar 1 capital requirements for 2016 and 2015 are shown in the table below:

	2016	2015
As at 31 December	£m	£m
Market Risk	193	172
Credit Risk (including Concentration Risk)	359	312
Operational Risk	36	35
Total Capital Requirements	588	519

	2016	2015
As at 31 December	%	%
Common Equity Tier 1 Ratio (Common Equity Tier 1 / Risk Weighted Assets)	13.7	15.1
Tier 1 Ratio (Tier 1 / Risk Weighted Assets)	17.8	15.1
Total Capital Ratio (Total Capital / Risk Weighted Assets)	21.3	25.3

Further details of the Company's capital requirements are included within the Company's Pillar 3 disclosure which is published on the Company's website.

Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee meets at least four times a year and is comprised of five non-executive directors and is chaired by Stephen Jack who, as a qualified accountant and former finance director and CFO is deemed to have recent and relevant financial experience. The Audit Committee has non-executive responsibility for the oversight of internal control and risk management systems, financial reporting, effectiveness of the internal and external auditors, compliance with regulatory requirements and the Company's auditing, accounting and financial reporting processes generally. Over the past year, the Audit Committee's areas of focus have been in relation to the review of financial statements; the Internal Audit function's reports, audit plan and resources; Compliance's financial crime monitoring programme, whistleblowing procedures and regulatory reporting requirements; Deloitte's management letters, fee proposal and adjustments made in light of differences in IFRS and JGAAP reporting requirements. Reports from the Regulatory Controls Committee and Operational Controls Committee have also been regularly provided to the committee. Members of senior management have been invited to some of the committee meetings in order to facilitate discussions and to ensure internal controls are being complied

with and sufficient assurances can be provided. The chair followed the end of each Audit Committee meeting with a verbal update to the members and a written paper to the Board giving details of issues discussed and conclusions made by the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee's performance for 2016 is currently the subject of an internal self-assessment being completed by members and observers of the Committee. An independently facilitated board effectiveness review shall be undertaken in 2017. The Audit Committee is responsible for the appointment of external auditors and as such appointed Deloitte in 2014. A review of Deloitte's performance was conducted in 2016 and following its review by the Audit Committee it was agreed that Deloitte's service was generally well regarded, although coordination with Tokyo should be a continuing area of focus. Deloitte's tenure shall be reviewed again in 2017. A policy addressing the non-audit services of auditors is currently being drafted. This policy shall reflect the types of services that are permitted, prohibited and for which approval already exists.

Independent Auditor's Report To The Members Of MUFG Securities EMEA plc

We have audited the financial statements of MUFG Securities EMEA PLC for the year ended 31 December 2016 which comprise the Income Statement, the Statement of Comprehensive Income, the Balance Sheet, the Cash Flow Statement, the Statement of Changes in Equity and the related notes 1 to 31. The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs) as adopted by the European Union

This report is made solely to the company's members, as a body, in accordance with Chapter 3 of Part 16 of the Companies Act 2006. Our audit work has been undertaken so that we might state to the company's members those matters we are required to state to them in an auditor's report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the company and the company's members as a body, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.

Respective responsibilities of directors and auditor

As explained more fully in the Directors' Responsibilities Statement, the directors are responsible for the preparation of the financial statements and for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view. Our responsibility is to audit and express an opinion on the financial statements in accordance with applicable law and International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland). Those standards require us to comply with the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards for Auditors

Scope of the audit of the financial statements

An audit involves obtaining evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements sufficient to give reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error. This includes an assessment of: whether the accounting policies are appropriate to the company's circumstances and have been consistently applied and adequately disclosed; the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by the directors; and the overall presentation of the financial statements. In addition, we read all the financial and non-financial information in the annual report to identify material inconsistencies with the audited financial statements and to identify any information that is apparently materially incorrect based on, or materially inconsistent with, the knowledge acquired by us in the course of performing the audit. If we become aware of any apparent material misstatements or inconsistencies we consider the implications for our report.

Opinion on financial statements

In our opinion the financial statements:

- give a true and fair view of the state of the company's affairs as at 31
 December 2016 and of its profit for the year then ended;
- have been properly prepared in accordance with IFRSs as adopted by the European Union; and
- have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Companies Act 2006.

Opinion on other matters prescribed by the Companies Act 2006

In our opinion, based on the work undertaken in the course of the audit:

- the information given in the Strategic Report and the Directors' Report for the financial year for which the financial statements are prepared is consistent with the financial statements; and
- the Strategic Report and the Directors' Report have been prepared in accordance with applicable legal requirements.

In the light of the knowledge and understanding of the company and its environment obtained in the course of the audit, we have not identified any material misstatements in the Strategic Report and the Directors' Report.

Matters on which we are required to report by exception

We have nothing to report in respect of the following matters where the Companies Act 2006 requires us to report to you if, in our opinion:

- adequate accounting records have not been kept, or returns adequate for our audit have not been received from branches not visited by us: or
- the financial statements are not in agreement with the accounting records and returns; or
- certain disclosures of directors' remuneration specified by law are not made; or
- we have not received all the information and explanations we require for our audit.

Caraine Bura

Caroline Britton ACA (Senior Statutory Auditor)
for and on behalf of Deloitte LLP
Chartered Accountants and Statutory Auditor
London, United Kingdom
10 March 2017

Financial Statements

Company income statement

For the year ended 31st December	Notes	2016 £'000	2015 £′000
Interest income	2	29,755	24,656
Interest expense	3	(12,769)	(11,718)
Net interest income		16,986	12,938
Fees and commissions income		73,149	42,546
Fees and commissions expense		(15,710)	(9,016)
Net fees and commissions income		57,439	33,530
Trading income		203,890	143,134
Net Investment income		7,950	11,060
Total operating income		286,265	200,662
Administrative expenses	4	(192,136)	(173,947)
Amortisation of intangible assets	12	(12,815)	(11,173)
Depreciation of property, plant and equipment	13	(2,564)	(2,839)
Total operating expenses		(207,515)	(187,959)
Profit on ordinary activities before taxation		78,750	12,703
Taxation	8	(18,851)	(4,567)
Profit attributable to owners of the company		59,899	8,136

The above results are derived from continuing operations of the business.

Company statement of comprehensive income

	2016	2015
For the year ended 31st December	£′000	£′000
Profit after tax	59,899	8,136
Other comprehensive income / loss from continuing operations:		
Available for sale reserve		
Net gains from changes in fair value	13,447	7,604
Net (gains) transferred to net profit	(7,950)	(11,060)
Taxation	(1,408)	650
Cash flow hedging reserve		
Net gains / (losses) from changes in fair value	436	(99)
Net losses transferred to net profit	258	299
Taxation	(171)	(40)
Total comprehensive income that may be recycled to profit or loss	64,511	5,490
Other comprehensive (loss) / income not recycled to profit or loss		
Actuarial (losses) / gains in retirement benefit schemes	(25,072)	11,195
Taxation	6,104	(2,510)
Total other comprehensive (loss) / income	(18,968)	8,685
Total comprehensive income for the year attributable to owners of the company	45,543	14,175

Company balance sheet

As at 31st December		2016	2015
	Notes	£'000	£′000
ASSETS			
Cash and balances at central banks		975,454	185,382
Trading portfolio financial assets	9	5,359,009	4,175,091
Derivative financial instruments	10	19,297,027	13,695,239
Reverse repurchase agreements		42,384,371	28,412,219
Securities sold not delivered		1,202,543	1,627,013
Equity finance assets		485,331	408,229
Cash collateral on securities borrowed		7,824,309	5,134,715
Cash collateral paid to derivative counterparties		2,474,924	1,452,585
Loans and advances to banks		200,066	136,482
Financial instruments available for sale	11	1,757,381	2,116,190
Deferred tax asset		32,040	29,340
Intangible assets	12	50,172	46,100
Property, plant and equipment	13	13,297	16,710
Other assets	14	373,469	166,571
Total assets		82,429,393	57,601,866
LIABILITIES Deposits by banks	45	72,392	92,149
Trading portfolio financial liabilities	15	5,546,702	2,012,527
Derivative financial instruments	10	18,397,222	12,463,178
Repurchase agreements		41,936,030	30,222,438
Securities bought not delivered		1,396,090	934,877
Cash collateral on securities lent		444,514	103,661
Cash collateral received from derivative counterparties		7,853,174	5,595,127
Repurchase agreements at amortised cost		-	294,762
Financial liabilities designated at fair value	16	4,563,537	3,632,236
Other liabilities	17	466,760	493,501
Subordinated liabilities	18	309,151	666,111
Total liabilities		80,985,572	56,510,567
EQUITY			
Equity instruments	19	1,317,590	1,010,611
Other reserves		1,752	(2,860)
Retained earnings		124,479	83,548
Total equity		1,443,821	1,091,299
Total liabilities and equity		82,429,393	57,601,866

Company Number: 1698498

The financial statements on pages 18 to 51 and business and risk management policies on pages 7 to 16 were approved by the Board of Directors and authorised for issue on 10 March 2017 and signed on its behalf by:



David King Chief Executive Officer 10 March 2017

Financial Statements

Company statement of changes in equity		Additional Tier 1 capital £'000	Tier 1 for sale capital reserve	Cash flow	Retained earnings £'000	Total equity £′000
	Share capital £'000			hedging		
				reserve		
				£′000		
2015						
Balance 1 Jan 2015	1,010,611	-	167	(381)	66,727	1,077,124
Profit after tax	-	-	-	-	8,136	8,136
Available for sale investments	-	-	(2,806)	-	-	(2,806)
Cash flow hedges	-	-	-	160	-	160
Actuarial gain and loss	-	-	-	-	8,685	8,685
Balance 31 Dec 2015	1,010,611	-	(2,639)	(221)	83,548	1,091,299
2016						
Balance 1 Jan 2016	1,010,611	-	(2,639)	(221)	83,548	1,091,299
Profit after tax	-	-	-	-	59,899	59,899
Issue of equity instruments	-	306,979	-	-	-	306,979
Available for sale investments	-	-	4,089	-	-	4,089
Cash flow hedges	-	-	-	523	-	523
Actuarial gain and loss	-	-	-	-	(18,968)	(18,968)
Balance 31 Dec 2016	1,010,611	306,979	1,450	302	124,479	1,443,821

Company cash flow statement

For the year ended 31st December	2016 £'000	2015 £'000
Reconciliation of profit before tax to net cash flows from operating activities		
Profit before tax	78,750	12,703
Adjustment for non-cash items:		
Depreciation and impairment of property, plant & equipment	2,564	2,839
Amortisation and impairment of intangible assets	12,815	11,173
Net loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment and intangible assets	3,938	259
Actuarial (loss)/gain on pension	(18,968)	8,685
Corporation tax expense	(18,851)	(4,567)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Net decrease in trading portfolio financial assets / liabilities	2,350,257	1,061,054
Net decrease in derivative financial instruments	332,255	202,105
Net decrease/(increase) in securities bought / sold not delivered	885,683	(886,592)
Net increase in reverse repurchase agreements	(14,266,912)	(7,971,484)
Net increase in repurchase agreements	11,713,592	8,473,985
Net (increase)/decrease in loans and advances to banks	(63,584)	2,377,734
Net increase in cash collateral for securities and derivatives	(1,113,033)	(2,406,725)
Net increase in other assets	(286,701)	(94,965)
Net (decrease)/increase in other liabilities	(26,741)	30,920
Net cash from operating activities	(414,936)	817,124
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	(3,017)	(1,974)
Purchase of intangible assets	(16,959)	(15,819)
Purchase of available for sale investments	(3,151,525)	(3,609,071)
Proceeds from sale or redemption of available for sale investments	3,510,334	3,109,819
Other cash inflows/(outflows) associated with investing activities	4,612	(2,647)
Net cash from investing activities	343,445	(519,692)
Issue of equity instruments - AT1	306,979	-
Proceeds from financial liabilities designated at fair value	4,507,779	4,412,861
Repayments of financial liabilities designated at fair value	(3,576,478)	(4,858,902)
Proceeds from drawdown of subordinated debt	309,117	-
Repayments and redemption of subordinated debt	(666,077)	24,333
Net cash from financing activities	881,320	(421,708)
Net (decrease)/increase in cash and cash equivalents	809,829	(124,276)
Opening cash and cash equivalents	93,233	217,509
Net cash increase / (decrease)	783,217	(112,198)
Foreign exchange	26,612	(12,078)
Closing cash and cash equivalents	903,062	93,233
Cash and balances at central banks	975,454	185,382
Deposits by banks repayable on demand	(72,392)	(92,149)
Total cash and cash equivalents		
Total Cash and Cash equivalents	903,062	93,233

Accounting Policies

Basis of preparation of company accounts

The financial information includes the financial statements of the Company for the year ended 31st December 2016. The financial information has been prepared under the historical cost convention modified by the revaluation to fair value of certain positions, and in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as adopted by the European Union and with the Companies Act 2006.

The financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis due to expected future profitability and continuing support from MUSHD. The Company's business activities, together with the factors likely to affect its future development, performance and position are set out in the Strategic Report on pages 2 to 3. The financial position of the Company, its liquidity position and borrowing facilities are described through the financial statements beginning on page 18. In addition, the Business and Risk Management Policies on pages 7 to 16 include the Company's objectives, policies and processes for managing its capital; its financial risk management objectives; and various forms of risk.

The Company has considerable financial resources together with long-term support from MUSHD evidenced by additional capital raised during the period, and contracts with both a broad range of customers and financial institutions across different geographic areas. As a consequence, the Directors believe that the Company is well placed to manage its business risks successfully despite the current uncertain global economic outlook. The Directors have a reasonable expectation that the Company has adequate resources to continue in operational existence for the foreseeable future. Thus they continue to adopt the going concern basis in preparing the annual financial statements.

Use of estimates and assumptions

The preparation of financial information requires the use of estimates and assumptions about future conditions. The use of available information and the application of judgement are inherent in the formation of estimates; actual results in the future may differ from estimates upon which financial information is prepared. The Company believes that the critical accounting policies where judgement is necessarily applied are those which relate to the valuation of financial instruments, assumptions included in the actuarial valuation of the defined benefit pension scheme and recognition of deferred tax assets. See below for further comments on fair value measurement of financial assets and liabilities.

The recognition of a deferred tax asset relies on an assessment of the probability and sufficiency of future taxable profits, future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences and ongoing tax planning strategies. The most significant judgements relate to expected future profitability.

Trading assets and trading liabilities

Long and short positions in debt and equity securities, which have been acquired or incurred principally for the purpose of selling or repurchasing in the near term or which are part of a portfolio of identified financial instruments that are managed together and for which there is evidence of a recent actual pattern of short-term profit taking, are classified as held for trading. Such financial assets or financial liabilities are recognised initially at fair value, with transaction costs taken to the income statement, and are subsequently remeasured at fair value. All

subsequent gains and losses from changes in the fair value of these assets and liabilities together with related interest income, interest expense and dividends, are recognised in the income statement within trading income as they arise. Financial assets and financial liabilities are recognised using trade date accounting.

Loans and receivables

Loans and receivables are non-derivative financial assets with fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market. Loans and receivables are initially recognised at fair value including direct and incremental transaction costs. They are subsequently valued at amortised cost, using the effective interest rate method, less any impairment.

Available for sale

Available for sale ("AFS") investments are non-derivative investments that are not designated as another category of financial asset and are carried at fair value.

Interest income is recognised in the income statement using the effective interest method. Dividend income is recognised in the income statement when the Company becomes entitled to the dividend. Foreign exchange gains or losses on AFS debt security investments are recognised in net trading income. Other fair value changes are recognised directly in shareholder's equity within the AFS reserve until the investment is sold or impaired, at which time the balance in equity is recognised in the income statement.

Financial instruments designated at fair value

Financial instruments, other than those held for trading, are classified in this category if they meet one or more of the criteria set out below, and are so designated by management. The Company may designate financial instruments at fair value when doing so results in more relevant information due to the following:

- It eliminates or significantly reduces valuation or recognition inconsistencies that would otherwise arise from measuring financial assets or financial liabilities, or recognising gains and losses on them, on different bases. Under this criterion, the main class of financial instruments designated by the Company includes medium term note issues and money market loans and deposits. The return on certain instruments has been matched with derivatives. An accounting mismatch would arise if the debt securities and money market transactions were accounted for at amortised cost, because the related derivatives are measured at fair value with movements in the fair value taken through the income statement. By designating these assets and liabilities at fair value, the movement in their fair value will also be recorded in the income statement.
- Groups of financial assets, financial liabilities or combinations thereof
 are managed and their performance evaluated, on a fair value basis
 in accordance with a documented risk management or investment
 strategy, and information about groups of financial instruments is
 reported to management on that basis.
- Certain financial instruments contain one or more embedded derivatives that significantly modify the cash flows resulting from those financial instruments.

The fair value designation, once made, is irrevocable. Designated financial assets and financial liabilities are recognised on trade date, when the Company enters into contractual arrangements with counterparties. Measurement is initially at fair value with transaction costs taken directly to the income statement. Subsequently, the fair values are remeasured, and gains and losses from changes therein are recognised in the income statement.

Repurchase and resale agreements

Securities which have been sold subject to an agreement to repurchase remain on the balance sheet and a liability based on the net present value of the associated future cash out flows is recorded within liabilities. Securities acquired in purchase and resale transactions are not recognised on the balance sheet and an asset based on the net present value of the associated future cash receipts is recorded within assets.

Certain transactions which are managed by the Treasury business are recorded as loans and receivables (reverse repurchase agreements) or liabilities at amortised cost (repurchase agreements). As such, the balances recorded in assets and liabilities are subsequently remeasured only to reflect the accrual of interest or impairment.

All other sale and repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements are treated as trading instruments. As such, the balances recorded in assets and liabilities are subsequently remeasured at fair value. Gains and losses from changes in the fair value of the associated cash flows are recognised in the income statement as they arise. Assets and liabilities are offset and the net amount reported on the balance sheet when there is a legally enforceable right to offset the recognised amounts and there is an intention to settle on a net basis.

Issued debt - financial liability vs equity classification

Issued financial instruments or their components are classified as equity when there is no contractual obligation to transfer cash, other financial assets or issue a variable number of the Company's own equity instruments to the holder of the instrument. The proceeds of the issue are recorded directly in equity, and held at historical cost. Incremental costs directly attributable to the issue of equity instruments are shown in equity as a deduction from the proceeds, net of tax. Dividends and other returns to equity holders are recognised as a deduction from distributable reserves within equity when paid or declared by the Company.

Issued financial instruments or their components are classified as liabilities if the underlying contract results in a present obligation for the Company to either deliver cash, another financial asset, or a variable number of the Company's own equity shares to the holder of the instrument. Financial liabilities are measured at amortised cost, except for trading liabilities and liabilities designated at fair value, which are held at fair value through profit and loss.

Where issued financial instruments contain both liability and equity components, these are accounted for separately. The fair value of the debt is estimated first and the remainder of the proceeds are included within equity.

Identification and measurement of impairment

At each balance sheet date the Company assesses whether there is objective evidence that financial assets not carried at fair value through the income statement are impaired. Financial assets are impaired when objective evidence demonstrates that a loss event has occurred after the initial recognition of the asset, and that the loss event has an impact on the expected future cash flows of the asset that can be estimated reliably. Examples of such events would include significant credit deterioration of the issuer such as a significant credit rating downgrade; default, delinquency or bankruptcy of the issuer; or another specific event which would lead to a decrease in expected future cash flows.

Impairment losses on assets carried at amortised cost are measured as the difference between the carrying amount of the financial assets and the present value of estimated future cash flows, discounted at the assets' original effective interest rate. Losses are recognised in the income statement and reflected in an allowance against the carrying value of the assets. Interest on the impaired asset continues to be recognised through the unwinding of the discount.

When a subsequent event, for example, reversal of one of the conditions outlined above, causes the amount of impairment loss to decrease, the impairment loss is reversed through the income statement.

Impairment losses on AFS investment securities are recognised by transferring the difference between the amortised acquisition cost and current fair value out of equity to the income statement. When a subsequent event causes the amount of impairment loss on an AFS debt security to decrease, the decrease in impairment loss is reversed through the income statement. Impairment losses on AFS equity securities are not reversed through the income statement.

Derivatives

Derivatives are recognised initially, and are subsequently remeasured, at fair value. All changes in fair value, except for certain gains and losses related to cash flow hedges, are recognised in the income statement within trading income as they arise. Fair values are obtained from quoted market prices in active markets, or using valuation techniques where an active market does not exist. Valuation techniques include discounted cash flow models, recent market transactions and option pricing models as appropriate. All derivatives are classified as assets when their fair value is positive or as liabilities when their fair value is negative. Derivatives are recognised using trade date accounting.

Financial assets and liabilities are offset and the net amount reported on the balance sheet if, and only if, the entity currently has a legally enforceable right of offset and there is an intention to settle on a net basis, or to realise an asset and settle the liability simultaneously. In many cases, even though master netting agreements are in place, the related assets and liabilities are presented gross on the balance sheet as these requirements are not met.

The value of derivative contracts has been adjusted to include the impact of counterparty credit risk ("CVA") and the cost and benefit of future funding ("FVA"). The impact of changes in the Company's own credit risk ("DVA") is included within the application of FVA. The Company is the beneficiary of an intercompany guarantee from BTMU which provides

Accounting Policies (continued)

protection over a portfolio of corporate derivatives. This guarantee does not meet the criteria in IAS 39 for presentation as a financial guarantee, and as such is accounted for as a credit derivative.

Collateral

Cash collateral pledged by the Company on derivative and other liabilities is classified as an asset within financial assets at amortised cost. Cash collateral pledged by counterparties is classified within financial liabilities at amortised cost. These balances are initially measured at fair value and subsequently measured at amortised cost.

Where securities are posted to counterparties as collateral against liabilities of the Company the security will be retained on the Company's balance sheet and will not impact the recorded liability. Collateral received in the form of securities is not recorded on the balance sheet.

Embedded derivatives

Derivatives may be embedded in other contractual arrangements. Embedded derivatives are treated as separate derivatives when their economic characteristics and risks are not clearly and closely related to those of the host; the terms of the embedded derivatives would meet the definition of a stand-alone derivative if they were contained in a separate contract; and the combined contract is not held for trading or designated at fair value. These embedded derivatives are measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognised in the income statement.

Equity finance assets

Equity finance assets are recognised initially, and are subsequently remeasured, at fair value. All changes in fair value, are recognised in the income statement within trading income as they arise. Fair values are obtained from quoted market prices in active markets, or using valuation techniques where an active market does not exist. Equity financing assets are recognised using trade date accounting.

Hedge accounting

At the inception of a hedging relationship, the Company documents the relationship between the hedging instruments and the hedged items, its risk management objective and its strategy for undertaking the hedge. The Company also requires a documented assessment, both at hedge inception and on an ongoing basis (both prospective and retrospective effectiveness), of whether or not the hedging instruments are highly effective in offsetting the changes in the fair values of the hedged items attributable to the hedged risks. Hedges are designated by the Company as either: hedges of the change in fair value of recognised assets or liabilities ('fair value hedges') or hedges of the variability of cash flows attributable to a recognised asset or liability or a forecast transaction ('cash flow hedges').

Fair value hedges

Changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated, and qualify, as fair value hedging instruments are recorded in the income statement, along with changes in the fair value of the hedged assets, liabilities or groups thereof that are attributable to the hedged risk. If a hedging relationship no longer meets the criteria for hedge accounting, the cumulative adjustment to the carrying amount of the hedged item is amortised to the income statement based on a recalculated effective interest rate over the residual period to maturity, unless the hedged

item has been derecognised, in which case, it is released to the income statement immediately.

Cash flow hedges

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges is recognised in shareholder's equity within the cash flow hedging reserve. Any gain or loss in fair value relating to an ineffective portion is recognised immediately in the income statement. Amounts accumulated in equity are recycled to the income statement in the periods in which the hedged item will affect profit or loss. When a hedging instrument expires or is sold, or when a hedge no longer meets the criteria for hedge accounting, any cumulative gain or loss existing in equity at that time remains in equity until the hedged transaction is recognised in the income statement. When a hedged forecast transaction is no longer expected to occur, the cumulative gain or loss that was reported in equity is immediately transferred to the income statement.

Derecognition of financial assets and liabilities

Financial assets are derecognised when the rights to receive cash flows from the assets have expired; or when the Company has transferred both its contractual right to receive the cash flows of the financial assets, and substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership; or where control is not retained. Financial liabilities are derecognised when they are extinguished, that is when the obligation is discharged, cancelled or expired.

Fair value measurement of financial assets and liabilities

Fair value is the amount for which an asset could be sold, or a liability transferred, between knowledgeable, willing parties in an arm's length transaction on the measurement date.

When available, the Company measures the fair value of an instrument using quoted prices in an active market for that instrument. A market is regarded as active if quoted prices are readily and regularly available and represent actual and regularly occurring market transactions on an arm's length basis.

If the market for a financial instrument is not active, the Company establishes fair value using valuation techniques. Valuation techniques include using recent arm's length transactions between knowledgeable, willing parties (if available), reference to the current fair value of other instruments that are substantially the same, discounted cash flow analysis and option pricing models. The chosen valuation technique makes maximum use of market inputs, relies as little as possible on estimates specific to the Company, incorporates all factors that market participants would consider in setting a price, and is consistent with accepted economic methodologies for pricing financial instruments. Inputs to valuation techniques reasonably represent market expectations and measures of the risk-return factors inherent in the financial instrument. The Company calibrates valuation techniques and tests them for validity using prices from observable current market transactions in the same instrument or based on other available observable market data.

When unobservable market data has a significant impact on the valuation of financial instruments and the model valuations indicate initial profits on the transaction, the entire initial gain is not recognised immediately in the income statement. The initial gain is measured as the difference in fair

value indicated by the valuation model price and the transaction price. These gains are deferred and recognised over the life of the transaction on a systematic basis, or when the inputs become observable, or the transaction matures or is closed out, or when the Company enters into an eligible offsetting or economic hedging transaction which provides a market data point to demonstrate observability of the unobservable input(s). Refer to Note 22 for further detail on the fair value of financial instruments

Management fees and commission

Management fees and commission are recognised in the period during which the management service has been provided.

Client money segregation

The Company holds money on behalf of some clients in accordance with the Client Money Rules of the Financial Conduct Authority. Such monies and the corresponding amounts due to clients are not held on the balance sheet as the clients retain beneficial ownership.

Net interest income

The interest balances presented within the income statement represent the returns and costs to the firm of holding regulatory buffer assets and long term investment positions. These positions are held and managed within the Treasury function. Interest income represents coupon income and amortisation of any premium or discount arising upon purchase of HTM or AFS investments and certain reverse repurchase agreements treated as loans and receivables. Interest expense represents the cost of funding these positions and includes the cost of repurchase agreements held as liabilities at amortised cost, interest payable on subordinated debt, and interest costs on other sources of funds that support these investments.

Any coupon receivable or payable on items which are part of the trading activities of the Company are included directly within Dealing profits.

Foreign currencies

The financial statements are presented in pounds sterling which is the presentation and functional currency of the Company.

Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies and open forward foreign exchange contracts are translated using the rate of exchange prevailing at the balance sheet date. Gains or losses on translation are included in the income statement.

The assets and liabilities of the Company recognised in foreign currencies are translated to the Company's functional currency at the exchange rates of the reporting date. The income and expenses of the Company are translated to the Company's functional currency at the exchange rates at the dates of the transactions.

Property, plant and equipment and intangible assets

Property, plant and equipment and intangible assets are measured at cost less accumulated depreciation and any accumulated impairment losses.

Expenditure to bring purchased software into operational use and internally developed software are recognised as intangible assets when the Company considers that the software will be used in a manner that

will generate future economic benefits and can reliably measure the costs of development.

All fixed assets are reviewed for impairment on an annual basis. Assets are impaired where it is considered that the future economic benefit of the asset is lower than its carrying amount. Such impairment losses are included directly in the income statement.

Depreciation is provided to write off the cost less estimated residual value of tangible fixed assets and intangible software assets by equal instalments over their estimated useful lives as follows:

Leasehold improvements 10 – 25 years or over the

remaining term of the lease

Office furniture and fittings 5 years
Office machinery and equipment 3 - 5 years
Intangible software 3 - 7 years
Finance lease assets Lease term

Leases

A lease is classified at the date that the Company enters the agreement as either a finance lease or an operating lease. A lease that transfers substantially all the risks and rewards incidental to ownership to the Company is classified as a finance lease.

Finance leases are capitalised as assets at the lower of the fair value of the property which is being leased or the present value of the minimum lease payments. The income statement is affected by both depreciation of the capitalised asset and interest on the minimum lease payment liability.

An operating lease is a lease other than a finance lease. Operating lease payments are recognised as an expense on a straight line basis over the lease term

Taxation

Taxation comprises current and deferred tax. Current tax and deferred tax are recognised in the income statement except to the extent that they relate to items recognised directly in equity.

Current tax is the expected tax payable or receivable on the taxable income or loss for the year, using tax rates enacted or substantively enacted at the reporting date, and any adjustment to tax payable in respect of previous years.

Deferred tax is recognised in respect of all temporary differences and tax losses that have originated but not reversed by the balance sheet date. Temporary differences are divergences between the Company's results for tax purposes and its results as stated in the financial statements that arise from the inclusion of income and expenses in tax assessments in periods different from those in which they are recognised in the financial statements. Temporary differences and tax losses are taken into account if they have originated prior to the balance sheet date and are expected to reverse in one or more future periods.

Deferred tax is calculated at the tax rates that are expected to be applied to temporary differences or tax losses when they reverse, based on the laws that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the reporting

Accounting Policies (continued)

date. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are offset if there is a legally enforceable right to offset current tax liabilities against current tax assets, and they relate to taxes levied by the same tax authority on the same taxable entity, or on different taxable entities which intend to settle current tax liabilities and assets on a net basis.

A deferred tax asset is recognised for unused tax losses and other deductible temporary differences to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profits will be available against which they can be utilised. Deferred tax assets are reviewed at each reporting date and are reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that the related tax benefit will be realised.

Deferred tax balances are not discounted.

Employee benefits

Staff are remunerated through both salary and annual performance based discretionary compensation awards. Performance based awards are calculated annually, and reflect the performance of both the individual and the Company during that annual period. Portions of performance based awards are paid by the Company on deferred terms. From 2011 onwards, a portion of these deferred awards for certain employees subject to the PRA's Remuneration Code are linked to the performance of the share price of MUFG. These awards are termed Notional Stock Units (NSU's).

Where payments are made on a deferred basis and the cash value is fixed at the award date, the Company recognises the costs of the deferred awards during the period that the award is made, even though cash payments will not be made until future periods. The Company considers that this treatment most effectively represents the costs of employee compensation for the period.

Where payments are made on a deferred basis and the cash value is linked to the MUFG share price, the Company amortises the expected cost of the award across the entire deferral period, and records as an expense only that portion which is deemed to have accrued during the current period.

Pensions

The Company maintains both a defined contribution pension scheme and a defined benefit pension scheme (the defined benefit scheme is closed to new entrants and to future accruals).

For the defined contribution scheme, pension costs are charged to the income statement which represent the contributions payable to the scheme in respect of the accounting period.

For the defined benefit scheme, pension scheme assets are measured using market value. Pension scheme liabilities are measured using a projected unit method and discounted at the current rate of return on high quality corporate bonds of equivalent term and currency to the liability. The increase in the present value of the liabilities of the Company's defined benefit pension scheme expected to arise from employee service in the period is charged to Profit on ordinary activities before taxation. The expected return on the scheme's assets and the increase during the period in the present value of the scheme's liabilities arising from the passage of time are included in administrative

expenses. Actuarial gains and losses are recognised in the statement of total comprehensive income. Deficits in the scheme are recognised in the Company's balance sheet. Surpluses are only recognised to the extent that they are recoverable through reduced contributions in the future or through refunds from the schemes.

The Company recognises the effect of material changes to the terms of its defined benefit pension scheme which reduce future benefits as curtailments; gains and losses are recognised in the income statement when the curtailments occur.

The Company revalues its defined benefit scheme at 30th June and 31st December each year, in consultation with the scheme's actuaries. The assumptions underlying the calculations are used to determine the expected income statement charge for the year going forward.

Adoption of new and revised Standards

In the current year, the following new and revised Standards and Interpretations have been adopted when preparing the amounts reported in these Financial Statements. Only those Standards which are pertinent to the Company are summarised:

 Amendments to IFRS 11 Joint Arrangements: Accounting for Acquisitions of Interests

The amendments to IFRS 11 require that an entity accounting for the acquisition of an interest in a joint operation which meets the definition of a business within IFRS 3, must apply the relevant IFRS 3 Business Combinations accounting. The amendments also clarify that a previously held interest in a joint operation is not remeasured on the acquisition of an additional interest if joint control is retained. A scope exclusion is also added to IFRS 11 to specify that the amendments do not apply when the parties sharing joint control are under the control of the same ultimate party.

The amendments apply to both the acquisition of the initial interest in a joint operation and the acquisition of any additional interests in the same joint operation and are applied prospectively. These amendments do not have any impact on the Company as there has been no interest acquired in a joint operation during the period.

 Amendments to IAS 16 and IAS 38: Clarification of Acceptable Methods of Depreciation and Amortisation

The amendments clarify IAS 16 Property, Plant and Equipment and IAS 38 Intangible Assets to state that revenue reflects a pattern of economic benefits that are generated from operating a business rather than the economic benefits that are consumed through use of the asset. As such, a revenue-based method cannot be used to depreciate property, plant and equipment and may only be used in very limited circumstances to amortise intangible assets. The amendments are applied prospectively and do not have any impact on the Company, as it does not use a revenue-based method to depreciate assets.

 Amendments to IAS 27: Equity Method in Separate Financial Statements The amendments allow entities to use the equity method to account for investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates in their separate financial statements. For entities already applying IFRS, the change must be adopted retrospectively. These amendments do not have any impact on the Company's financial statements.

Annual Improvements 2012-2014 Cycle

IFRS 7 Financial Instruments: Disclosures - servicing contracts

The amendment relates to disclosures around derecognised assets in which the entity retains involvement, and clarifies that a servicing contract that includes a fee can constitute continuing involvement. An entity must assess the nature of the arrangement in order to assess whether additional disclosures are required, and this assessment must be done retrospectively.

IAS 19 Employee Benefits

The amendment clarifies that market depth of high quality corporate bonds is assessed based on the currency in which the obligation is denominated, rather than the country where the obligation is located. When there is no deep market for high quality corporate bonds in that currency, government bond rates must be used. This amendment is applied prospectively.

It is noted that none of these updates had a material impact on the financial statements.

Future Accounting Developments

The following standards, which have been issued but are not yet required to be applied, are likely to have an impact on the entity's financial reports which will be fully assessed during the period ahead:

IFRS 9 Financial Instruments

IFRS 9 which will replace IAS 39 Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement is effective for periods beginning on or after 1 January 2018 and was endorsed by the EU in November 2016. The standard is separated into three component parts:

- Classification and measurement the categorisation of financial assets will be based on the business model which is applied and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the instruments. Financial assets will be measured either at amortised cost (where the business model is to buy and hold, and the cash flows represent only repayments of principal and interest), at fair value through profit and loss (where business model is short term profit taking or fair value option elections are made), or at fair value through Other Comprehensive Income (where assets may be sold or held). The accounting for financial liabilities is largely unchanged, although the standard permits an election for entities to record changes in fair value due to movements in own credit on financial liabilities for which the fair value option has been elected to be recorded through Other Comprehensive Income.
- Impairment 12 months expected losses must now be recognised directly in the Income Statement upon recognition of assets which are not held at fair value, this increases to lifetime expected losses where assets are subject to significant credit deterioration after initial recognition.

 Hedge accounting – the rules for hedge accounting are aligned with risk management practices, reducing the necessity for quantitative effectiveness testing, and removing rules for voluntary designation and de-designation outside of documented risk management activity.

The entity continues to analyse this standard and its impact on the financial statements. As much of the entity's activity is carried out through client driven trading books, it is expected that changes as a result of the classification and measurement and impairment guidance will be limited. The entity has a small hedge accounted portfolio, all current hedging activity is permissible under the new standard, but will require changes to documentation in order to meet the new requirements.

IFRS 15 Revenues on contracts with customers

IFRS 15 is effective for periods beginning on or after 1 January 2018 and was endorsed by the EU in September 2016. It will replace IAS 18 Revenue and IAS 11 Construction Contracts, and applies to all contracts with customers except leases, financial instruments and insurance contracts. It establishes a stepwise model for revenue recognition which requires identification of contracts, separating those contracts into discrete performance obligations, allocating consideration to each obligation, and recognising revenue only once each obligation is satisfied.

Due to the short term nature of many of the entity's contracts, this will have limited impact on the timing of revenues recognised by the company.

Notes on Financial Statements

2 Interest income and similar income

	2016	2015
	£′000	£′000
Interest on non-trading assets	31,142	22,915
Interest on loans and receivables from banks	(1,387)	1,741
	29,755	24,656

'Interest on loans and receivables from banks' includes reverse repos reported at amortised cost, which were impacted by negative EUR and JPY rates during 2016.

3 Interest expense

	2016 £'000	2015 £'000
Interest on non-trading assets	4,680	4,307
Interest on loans and payables to banks	890	924
Interest on subordinated liabilities	7,199	6,487
	12,769	11,718
4 Administrative expenses	2016 £′000	2015 £′000
Wages and salaries	106,808	104,444
Retirement benefits	4,636	5,765
Social security costs	14,675	13,153
Personnel expenses	126,119	123,362
Auditor's remuneration (Note 5)	868	710
Operating lease rentals: property	844	3,977
Other administrative expense	64,305	45,898
General and administrative expenses	66,017	50,585
Total administrative expenses	192,136	173,947

The average number of employees of the Company was 587 (2015: 569).

Included within 'Other administrative expense' is a charge totalling £8.925m related to the settlement agreement reached with the PRA (refer to the Strategic Report on pages 2-3).

Included within 'Total administrative expenses' is research and development expenditure of £6.3m (2015: £6.7m) representing employee wages and salaries, project contractor, consumable and software costs.

5 Auditor's remuneration

The analysis of the auditor's remuneration is as follows:	2016	2015
····	£′000	£′000
Fees payable to the Company's auditor for		
audit and other services:		
Statutory audit fees	415	405
Audit related assurance services	85	40
Other taxation advisory services	20	-
Other services	170	59
Other assurance services	128	65
Services relating to corporate finance	32	123
	850	692
Fees payable to the Company's auditor in		
respect of associated pension schemes:	18	18
Total auditor's remuneration	868	710

6 Share based payment plans

Notional Stock Units

The Company awarded NSUs to a number of employees during the year. The NSUs are deferred over a period of 3, 5 or 7 years, depending on award date and the individuals role, and track the performance of MUFG shares (see accounting policies).

	2016		201	2016	2016 201	2015	015
	No. Units ('000)	Value (£'000)	No. Units ('000)	Value (£'000)			
No. of NSUs outstanding as at 1st January	4,445		4,041				
Granted during the year:							
No. of NSUs granted	1,969		2,044				
Value in GBP '000 equivalent at grant date		10,332		6,592			
Less:							
No. of NSUs vested during the year	(1,674)		(1,624)				
Average share price at vesting / payment (JPY)		524		822			
No. of NSUs forfeited / cancelled (unvested)			(16)				
No. of NSUs as at 31st December	4,740		4,445				
Fair value of outstanding NSUs at 31st December		21,919		19,815			

Notes on Financial Statements

7 Retirement benefits

The Company provides a defined contribution pension scheme, the Group Personal Pension Plan ("GPPP"), for employees of the Company. The assets of the scheme are held separately from those of the Company in an independently administered fund. The cost for the period recognised in the income statement was £4.6 million (2015: £5.8 million).

The Company also provides a funded, final salary, defined benefit pension scheme which was closed to new entrants and future accrual in 2011. The assets of the scheme are held separately from those of the Company in a segregated fund administered by trustees. The scheme is deemed to be a registered pension scheme under the terms of Schedule 36 of the Finance Act 2004 with scheme funding target objectives set by this act. Pension valuations are undertaken by independent qualified actuary with reporting guidelines set by the Technical Actuarial Standard with calculations consistent with the International Accounting Standard ("IAS19").

An update to the latest actuarial valuation was performed as at 31st December 2016 and the principal actuarial assumptions at the balance sheet date (expressed as weighted averages) were as follows::

	2016	2015
	%	%
Discount rate	2.9	4.0
RPI inflation assumption	3.5	3.4
CPI inflation assumption	2.5	2.4
LPI pension increase assumption	3.5	3.4

The discount rate assumption is based upon published corporate bond indices.

The inflation assumptions reference Bank of England published yield curve data.

The underlying mortality assumption is based upon the standard table known as S2PXA_L on a year of birth usage with CMI_2015 future improvement factors with a long term annual rate of future improvement of 11/4% p.a. (2015: S2PXA_L on a year of birth usage with CMI_2015 future improvement factors with a long term annual rate of future improvement of 11/4% p.a.). This results in the following life expectancies:

- Male age 65 now has a life expectancy of 23 years (previously 23 years)
- Female age 65 now has a life expectancy of 24 years (previously 24 years)

The amounts recognised in the balance sheet as at the year end are as follows:

	2016 £′000	2015 £′000
Present value of scheme liabilities	(189,491)	(144,950)
Market value of scheme assets	181,780	154,450
Recognisable (deficit) / surplus in the scheme	(7,711)	9,500
Related deferred tax asset / (liability)	2,083	(1,900)
Net pension (liability) / asset	(5,628)	7,600

The amounts recognised in the income statement within administrative expenses total £527k credit (2015: £191k debit). The projected income for the calendar year 2017 is £114k charge within administrative expenses.

All scheme costs are met directly by the Company and are therefore excluded from these disclosures.

Changes to the present value of the scheme liabilities for the year end are as follows:

	2016 £′000	2015
		£′000
Present value of scheme liabilities at opening	144,950	153,994
Interest cost	5,775	5,834
Actuarial gains / (losses)	41,718	(10,393)
Benefits paid	(2,952)	(4,485)
Present value of scheme liabilities at closing	189,491	144,950

Changes in the fair value of the scheme assets for the year end are as follows:

	2016	2015
	£′000	£′000
Fair value of scheme assets at opening	154,450	145,437
Interest income	6,302	5,643
Return on assets	16,646	803
Benefits paid	(2,952)	(4,485)
Contributions paid by the Company	7,334	7,052
Fair value of scheme assets at closing	181,780	154,450

The agreed contribution to be paid by the Company for the forthcoming year (year ending 31st December 2017) is £7.6 million.

The market value of total scheme assets for the year end is as follows:

Total	181,780	154,450
Cash	843	856
Absolute return	32,408	31,047
Overseas equities	105,883	89,316
UK equities	42,646	33,231
	000°£	£′000
	2016	2015

All scheme assets have a quoted market price in an active market. The assets of the scheme are held separately from those of the Company in funds under the control of the Trustees of the scheme.

The asset allocation was revised during the year following a review of the investment objectives and risks of the scheme. The current allocation retains ~80% equity exposure, now diversified to effect broader geographic and institutional concentrations. The remaining assets were largely consolidated into absolute return funds targeting growth with reduced volatility.

The amounts recognised in Other Comprehensive Income for the year end are as follows:

	2016	2015
	£′000	£′000
	40040	000
Actual return less expected return on scheme assets	16,646	803
Experience gains and losses arising on scheme liabilities	2,956	1,134
Changes in assumptions underlying the present value of scheme liabilities	(44,674)	9,258
Actuarial gain / (loss) recognised in OCI	(25,072)	11,195

Notes on Financial Statements

7 Retirement benefits (continued)

Movement in recognisable surplus / (deficit) during the year are as follows:

(Deficit) / surplus at end of year	(7,711)	9,500
Recognised actuarial (losses) / gains	(25,072)	11,195
Contributions paid by the company	7,334	7,053
Income / (expenses) recognised in income statement	527	(191)
Surplus / (deficit) at start of year	9,500	(8,557)
	£′000	£,000
	2016	2015

Analysis of present value of scheme liabilities:

	2016 %	2015 %
Deferred members	78	78
Current pensioners	22	22
Total	100	100

The below table shows the impact on the present value placed on the Scheme's liabilities of the stated changes to the actuarial assumptions (refer page 31). These sensitivities have been determined by a full recalculation of the present value using the different assumptions and are therefore fully accurate (2015: same approach).

	2016	2015
	%	%
Discount rate +/- 0.5%	-11 / 13	-11 / 13
RPI and CPI +/- 0.5%	+4 / -4	+4 / -4
Life expectancy +/- 1 year	+3 / -3	+3 / -3

The weighted average duration of the scheme liabilities is approximately 25 years (2015: 25 years).

8 Applicable taxes

	2016	2015
	£′000	£′000
UK corporation tax		939
Current year	(15,731)	
Adjustments in respect of prior years	-	138
	(15,731)	1,077
Foreign tax		(1,496)
Current year	(1,026)	
Adjustments in respect of prior years	-	-
Total current tax	(16,757)	(419)
Deferred tax		
Origination and reversal of timing differences	(7,686)	(2,603)
Effect of decreased tax rate	(464)	(703)
Adjustments in respect of prior years	6,056	(842)
Total deferred tax	(2,094)	(4,148)
Total tax expense	(18,851)	(4,567)

Corporation tax is calculated at 28% (2015: 20.25%) of the estimated taxable profit for the year. The increase in tax rate compared to prior year reflects the introduction of an 8% corporation tax surcharge applicable to banks and brokers with effect from 1st January 2016.

The charge for the year can be reconciled to the profit in the income statement as follows:

	2016	2015
	£′000	£′000
Profit/(loss) on ordinary activities before taxation	78,750	12,703
Tax at the UK corporation tax rate of 28% (2015: 20.25%)	(22,050)	(2,572)
Tax effect of expenses that are not deductible in determining taxable profits	(3,415)	(357)
Foreign tax suffered	(739)	(1,193)
Deferred tax prior year adjustment	6,056	(842)
Effect of reduction in rate used to recognise deferred tax assets	(464)	(679)
Realisation of deferred tax assets previously valued below current year statutory rate	381	-
Group relief surrender in relation to prior years	-	939
Current tax prior year adjustment	-	138
Share of group bank surcharge allowance	1,380	-
Tax expense for the year	(18,851)	(4,567)

In addition to the amount charged to the income statement, the following amounts related to tax have been recognised in other comprehensive income:

	2016 £′000	2015 £'000
Current tax	-	
Deferred tax		
Items that will not be reclassified subsequently to profit or loss		
Remeasurement of net defined benefit liability	6,104	(2,510)
Items that may be reclassified subsequently to profit or loss		
Available for sale financial assets (gains) / losses in period	(1,408)	650
Cash flow hedges (gains) / losses in period	(171)	(40)
Total income tax recognised in other comprehensive income	4,525	(1,900)

Notes on Financial Statements

8 Applicable taxes (continued)

Deferred Tax Assets

The following are the deferred tax assets and liabilities recognised by the Company and movements thereon during the current and prior reporting

period.	Balance				Balance
	as at	as at Charge to 1 January profit or 2016 loss £'000 £'000	Charge to OCI £'000	Transferred from other receivables £'000	as at
	1 January				31 December
	2016				2016
	£′000				£′000
Accelerated tax depreciation	3,469	2,483		-	5,952
Unused tax credits	534	-	-	268	802
Deferred compensation	5,936	4,446	-	-	10,382
Spreading of FRS 26 transitional adjustment	-	-	-	-	-
Spreading of IFRS 13 transitional adjustment	1,962	349	-	-	2,311
Cash flow hedge reserve	55	-	(171)	-	(116)
Available for sale financial assets	782	(521)	(1,408)	-	(1,147)
Retirement benefit obligations	(1,898)	(2,123)	6,104	-	2,083
Tax losses	18,501	(6,728)	-	-	11,773
Total Balance	29,341	(2,094)	4,525	268	32,040

	Balance as at 1 January 2015 £'000	Charge to profit or loss £'000	Charge to OCI £'000	Transferred from other receivables £'000	Balance as at 31 December 2015 £'000
Accelerated tax depreciation	1.906	1,563			3,469
Unused tax credits	103	1,505	-	431	534
		-	-	431	
Deferred compensation	7,592	(1,656)	-	-	5,936
Spreading of FRS 26 transitional adjustment	715	(715)	-	-	-
Spreading of IFRS 13 transitional adjustment	2,180	(218)	-	-	1,962
Cash flow hedge reserve	95	-	(40)	-	55
Available for sale financial assets	131	-	650	-	782
Retirement benefit obligations	1,713	-	(3,611)	-	(1,898)
Tax losses	20,522	(3,123)	1,101	-	18,501
Total Balance	34,956	(4,147)	(1,900)	431	29,341

Deferred tax assets are valued at the applicable corporation tax rate based on the period in which the underlying temporary difference is expected to reverse. The deferred tax assets above have been recognised based on supporting profit forecasts demonstrating sufficient future profits against which the assets can be utilised.

Under UK tax rules, tax losses can be carried forward indefinitely. The Finance Act 2016 limits the offset of pre April 2015 losses carried forward by UK banks and brokers to 25% of profits earned after 1st April 2016. Whilst the restriction on the use of carried forward losses will result in them being utilised over a longer period it should not impact the ultimate recoverability of the losses and hence a deferred tax asset has been recognised.

9 Trading portfolio financial assets

	2016	2015 £'000
	£000	
Government bonds	2,850,854	2,236,164
Corporation bonds	780,463	638,880
Other public sector securities	144,421	449,717
Total debt securities	3,775,738	3,324,761
Equity instruments	1,583,271	850,330
Total trading portfolio financial assets	5,359,009	4,175,091
Of which listed:		
Debt securities	3,544,184	3,090,2751
Equity instruments	336,525	56,022
Of which unlisted:		
Debt securities	231,554	234,486
Equity instruments	1,246,746	794,308
	5,359,009	4,175,091

10 Derivative financial instruments

	2016		
	Notional	Fair value	Fair value liabilities
	amount	assets	
	£'000	£'000	£′000
Derivatives held for trading			
Foreign exchange contracts	214,337,351	7,726,997	7,763,330
Interest rate contracts	2,866,609,587 21,085,090 8,878,602 891,498	10,528,527 794,971 123,653 110,042	10,062,092 168,581 246,618 110,099
Credit derivative contracts			
Equity contracts			
Commodities contracts			
Total trading contracts	3,111,802,128	19,284,190	18,350,720
Derivatives designated as fair value hedges			
Interest rate swaps contracts	841,691	4,389	18,923
Derivatives designated as cash flow hedges			
Forward foreign exchange contracts	361,568	8,448	27,579
Total hedging contracts	1,203,259	12,837	46,502
Total derivatives financial instruments	3,113,005,387	19,297,027	18,397,222

Notes on Financial Statements

10 Derivative financial instruments (continued)

	2015						
	Notional amount £'000	Fair value assets £'000	Fair value liabilities £'000				
Derivatives held for trading			4,169,629 7,863,563 95,093 232,281 78,387				
Foreign exchange contracts	176,394,931	4,732,021					
Interest rate contracts Credit derivative contracts Equity contracts Commodities contracts	2,357,457,796 13,514,217 4,930,006 766,676	8,239,884 533,394 100,146 78,489					
				Total trading contracts	2,553,063,626	13,683,934	12,438,953
				Derivatives designated as fair value hedges			
				Interest rate swaps contracts	848,033	-	10,579
Derivatives designated as cash flow hedges							
Forward foreign exchange contracts	657,005	11,305	13,646				
Total hedging contracts	1,505,038	11,305	24,225				
Total derivatives financial instruments	2,554,568,664	13,695,239	12,463,178.				

OTC credit derivatives include a credit derivative asset, which relates to a financial guarantee provided by a group company, BTMU, for the uncollateralised derivatives exposures within a portfolio of corporate counterparties. At year end the present value of this derivative totalled £558m (2015: £434m), this amount is offset by a credit valuation adjustment ("CVA") in respect of the exposure to the underlying corporate counterparties. This largely pertains to interest rate and foreign exchange contracts. The movement in these balances is driven by refinement of the model and a widening of credit spreads during the period (£nil net profit and loss impact).

	2016 £'000	2015 £′000
Gains and losses arising from fair value hedges:		
On hedging instruments	2,480	(7,100)
On the hedged items attributable to the hedged risk	(2,167)	7,218
Net gains	313	118

The gains and losses on ineffective portions of fair value hedges are recognised immediately in Trading Income.

The net movement in cash flow hedging reserves comprises additions to the balance from movements in the value of derivatives designated in effective hedges and reclassifications of balances from the reserve into Trading Income. The closing reserve balance is presented net of tax. Reserve balances are transferred to the income statement in the same periods during which the hedged items affect profit or loss. The gains and losses on ineffective portions of derivatives designated in cash flow hedging relationships are recognised immediately in Trading Income in the income statement. During the year, the ineffectiveness recorded in the income statement was not significant (2015: not significant).

Fair value hedges principally consist of inflation and interest rate swaps that are used to protect against changes in the fair value of bonds due to movements in market interest rates and inflation expectations.

Cash flow hedges consist of foreign currency exchange contracts to hedge the foreign currency risks arising from recognised financial assets denominated in foreign currencies.

11 Financial instruments available for sale

The following table gives the carrying value (fair value) of available for sale securities by major classifications.

	2016	2015
	£'000	£'000
Government bonds	947,169	1,476,008
Other public sector securities	810,087	640,074
Total debt securities	1,757,256	2,116,082
Equity instruments	125	108
Total available for sale securities	1,757,381	2,116,190

Available for sale financial investments with unrealised losses of more than twelve months have been assessed for impairment and based on the credit risk profile of the counterparties involved, it has been determined that impairment has not arisen at this time.

12 Intangible assets

		Capital				
	Software	lease asset	Total			
	£′000	£′000	£'000			
Cost						
At 1 January 2015	93,396	-	93,396			
Additions	15,320	499	15,819			
Impairment	(122)	-	(122)			
Disposals	(112)	-	(112)			
At 31 December 2015	108,482	499	108,981			
Additions	16,959	-	16,959			
Impairment	-	-	-			
Disposals	(92)	-	(92)			
At 31 December 2016	125,349	499	125,848			
Amortisation						
At 1 January 2015	51,749	-	51,749			
Charge for the Year	11,135	38	11,173			
Disposals	(41)	-	(41)			
At 31 December 2015	62,843	38	62,881			
Charge for the Year	12,711	104	12,815			
Disposals	(20)	-	(20)			
At 31 December 2016	75,534	142	75,676			
Carrying amount:						
At 31 December 2015	45,639	461	46,100			
At 31 December 2016	49,815	357	50,172			

13 Property, plant and equipment

	Leasehold improvements	Office furniture & fittings	Office machinery & equipment	Capital lease asset	Total
	£′000	£′000	£′000	£′000	£′000
Cost					
At 1 January 2015	17,090	1,471	18,476	-	37,037
Additions	102	12	569	1,290	1,973
Disposals	(127)	-	(197)	-	(324)
At 31 December 2015	17,065	1,483	18,848	1,290	38,686
Additions	1,827	20	1,453	-	3,300
Disposals	(5,572)	(20)	(251)	-	(5,843)
At 31 December 2016	13,320	1,483	20,050	1,290	36,143
Depreciation					
At 1 January 2015	3,827	1,143	14,427	-	19,397
Charge for the year	998	275	1,468	98	2,839
Disposals	(68)	-	(192)	-	(260)
At 31 December 2015	4,757	1,418	15,703	98	21,976
Charge for the year	830	17	1,449	268	2,564
Disposals	(1,507)	-	(187)	-	(1,694)
At 31 December 2016	4,080	1,435	16,965	366	22,846
Carrying amount:					
At 31 December 2015	12,308	65	3,145	1,192	16,710
At 31 December 2016	9,240	48	3,085	924	13,297

14 Other assets

	2016	2015
	£′000	£′000
Prepayments and accrued income	7,915	8,653
Current taxation	4,237	3,122
Pension asset (Note 7)	-	9,500
Other debtors - amortised cost	361,317	145,296
	373,469	166,571

Other debtors - amortised cost is driven by margin deposits at brokers and central clearers £315m (2015: £109m).

15 Trading portfolio financial liabilities

	2016	2015	
	000°£	£'000	
Government bonds	4,488,389	1,173,593	
Corporation bonds	157,744	157,345	
Other public sector securities	8,336	1,663	
Total debt securities	4,654,469	1,332,601	
Equity instruments	892,233	679,926	
Total trading portfolio financial liabilities	5,546,702	2,012,527	
Of which listed:			
Debt securities	4,635,305	1,126,670	
Equity instruments	892,233	679,926	
Of which unlisted:			
Debt securities	19,164	205,931	
Equity instruments	-	-	
	5,546,702	2,012,527	

16 Financial liabilities designated at fair value

	2016	2015
	£'000	£′000
Own issued notes	2,098,543	2,400,259
Commercial paper	476,070	550,534
Other financial liabilities	865,220	480,252
MUSHD loan facility	1,123,704	201,191
	4,563,537	3,632,236

Own issued notes include issuances with structured payment profiles. The Company issues these notes to raise term funding and satisfy investor demand, and carries them at fair value through profit and loss. The structured return profiles include securities which pay coupon only where certain conditions relating to equity performance, foreign currency movements, or other factors are met.

Commercial paper represents short term issuances where the funding typically carries a three month term.

Other financial liabilities represent funded swap transactions.

MUSHD loan facility represents senior unsecured intercompany borrowings lent under an uncommitted loan facility which allows the Company to borrow funds from MUSHD.

17 Other liabilities

17 Other Habilities	2016	2015
	£′000	£′000
Payables to structured entities	205,626	333,592
Tax and social security	23,080	8,025
Pension liability (Note 7)	7,711	-
Other creditors	230,343	151,884
	466,760	493,501

18 Subordinated liabilities

	2016	2015
	£'000	£′000
JPY 24.0 billion floating rate loan due December 2026 at 6-month JPY LIBOR +80bps	168,628	-
JPY 20.0 billion floating rate loan due December 2026 at 6-month JPY LIBOR +80bps	140,523	-
JPY 12.675 billion floating rate loan due June 2020 at 6-month JPY LIBOR +90bps	-	70,845
JPY 106.5 billion floating rate loan due December 2020 at 6 month JPY LIBOR +80bps	-	595,266
	309,151	666,111

Subordinated loans are agreed between the Company and its immediate parent, MUSHD. In December 2016, the Company repaid the Tier 2 loans totalling JPY119.175 billion and entered into two new 10-year Tier 2 loans.

19 Equity instruments

	2016		2015	
	No. '000	£′000	No. '000	£′000
Ordinary shares of £1 each: Authorised Share capital as at 1 January Allotted, called up and fully paid as at 31 December			1,250,000 1,010,611 1,010,611	
	2,000,000	2,000,000		1,250,000
	1,010,611 1,010,611	1,010,611 1,010,611		1,010,611 1,010,611
Additional Tier 1 capital as at 1 January		-		-
Issued in the year	306,979			
Additional Tier 1 capital as at 31 December		306,979	-	-
Total equity instruments		1,317,590		1,010,611

The Company has one class of ordinary shares which carry no right to fixed income.

On 21st October 2016, the Company increased the authorised share capital by 750m to total 2bn ordinary shares.

On 15th December 2016, the Company issued Additional Tier 1 capital instruments to MUSHD with a value of approximately £307m. The instruments include a contingent conversion feature which has the effect of converting the instruments to ordinary share capital of the Company if a trigger event, being a breach of minimum Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio, occurs at any time. The instruments are perpetual and are subordinated to senior creditors and subordinated loan creditors of the Company. The instruments bear a floating rate of interest. All interest payments are cancellable in certain circumstances, where certain distribution tests are breached, and are non-cumulative.

20 Distributions on equity shares

No interim or final dividend has been recognised as a distribution to the equity holder during the year (2015: nil).

On 16th January 2017, the Directors approved a coupon payment on the AT1 capital instruments of £728k. The payment was made from reserves available for distribution as at 31st December 2016. The amount has not been accrued in the results for the year ended December 2016 as it was not due at that date.

21 Report on Directors' remuneration and interests

	2016	2015	
		£′000	
Short term employment benefits	3,725	2,615	
Post employment benefits	40	60	
Other long term benefits	648	809	
Notional share based payments	648	809	
Remuneration of key management personnel	5,061	4,293	
Realised MTM / interest on NSUs & other long term benefits	(41)	202	
Total remuneration of key management personnel	5,020	4,495	

The number of Directors who were members of the Group defined contribution personal pension plan during the period was 3 (2015: 3).

NSUs are cash settled awards linked to the share price of the ultimate holding company, MUFG. Deferred NSU compensation expense is pro-rated across the entire deferral period. Deferred cash compensation expense is recognised during the period the award is made.

Non-executive Directors provided by other Group companies receive no fees or other remuneration for their services to the Company. The key management are the same personnel as the Directors of the Company.

The emoluments of the highest paid Director were as follows:

	2016 £'000	2015 £'000
Short term employment benefits	1,247	885
Post employment benefits	13	20
Other long term benefits	365	441
Notional share based payments	365	441
Remuneration of key management personnel	1,990	1,787
Realised MTM / interest on NSUs & other long term benefits	(41)	178
Total remuneration of key management personnel	1,949	1,965

The Directors' remuneration has been updated to reflect current year awards, irrespective of vesting conditions, with MTM and interest on NSU's and long term benefits realised in the year separately disclosed. The impact on prior year for all key management personnel and the highest paid director is an increase of £624k and £75k respectively.

22 Fair value of financial instruments

The majority of the Company's assets and liabilities are carried on the balance sheet at fair value, in which cases fair value is equal to the carrying value.

The following table presents a comparison by category of book amounts and fair value of the Company's financial assets and liabilities for those items which are not carried at fair value on the balance sheet.

	2016		2015	
	Carrying value £′000	Fair value £'000	Carrying value £'000	Fair value £′000
Assets				
Reverse repurchase agreements	200,066	200,066	136,482	136,453
Cash collateral paid to derivative counterparties	2,474,924	2,474,924	1,452,585	1,452,585
Other assets	373,469	373,469	166,571	166,571
Liabilities				
Repurchase agreements	-	-	294,762	294,761
Cash collateral received from derivative counterparties	7,853,174	7,853,174	5,595,127	5,595,127
Other liabilities	466,760	466,760	493,501	493,501
Subordinated liabilities	309,151	315,494	666,111	668,985

Valuation of financial assets and liabilities

Valuation techniques incorporate assumptions about factors that other market participants would use in their valuations, including interest rate yield curves, exchange rates, volatilities and prepayment and default rates. The Company measures fair value using the following fair value hierarchy that reflects the significance of the inputs used in making measurements:

- Level 1: Quoted market price (unadjusted) in an active market for an identical instrument.
- Level 2: Valuation techniques based on observable inputs, either directly (i.e. as prices) or indirectly (i.e. derived from prices). This category
 includes instruments valued using quoted market prices in active markets for similar instruments; quoted prices for identical or similar
 instruments in markets that are considered less than active; or other valuation techniques where all significant inputs are directly or indirectly
 observable from market data.
- Level 3: Valuation techniques using significant unobservable inputs. This category includes all instruments where the valuation technique
 includes inputs not based on observable data and the unobservable inputs have a significant effect on the instrument's valuation. This category
 includes instruments that are valued based on quoted prices for similar instruments where significant unobservable adjustments or assumptions
 are required to reflect differences between the instruments.

22 Fair value of financial instruments (continued)

Issued structured notes and certain other hybrid instrument liabilities are designated at fair value. The spread applied to these instruments is derived from the spreads at which the Company issues structured notes. The change in fair value due to credit risk on these instruments is not significant (2015: not significant).

The fair value of certain financial instruments is measured using valuation techniques that are determined in full or partly on assumptions that are not supported by observable market prices. The effect of changing these assumptions to a range of reasonably possible alternative assumptions would provide a range from £5.1 million (2015: £4.3 million) lower to £26.1 million (2015: £45.0 million) higher than the fair value recognised in the financial statements for these assets and liabilities, with the current year range driven by increased volume of equity financing trades. It should be noted that for financial instruments whose valuations are not supported by observable market prices, profits are reserved at inception and these have not been adjusted in making this calculation.

There were no re-categorisations of financial instruments between levels 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy during the period. The movements in balances of level 3 items are detailed on page 43.

	2016			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	£′000	£'000	£'000	£′000
Assets				
Trading portfolio financial assets	3,294,091	2,064,918	-	5,359,009
Reverse repurchase agreements - fair value	-	42,384,371	-	42,384,371
Cash collateral on securities borrowed	-	7,824,309	-	7,824,309
Financial instruments available for sale	1,029,824	727,432	125	1,757,381
Derivative financial instruments	1,104	18,995,057	300,866	19,297,027
Equity finance assets	-	485,331	-	485,331
	4,325,019	72,481,418	300,991	77,107,428
Liabilities				
Trading portfolio financial liabilities	5,381,429	165,273	-	5,546,702
Repurchase agreements - fair value	-	41,936,030	-	41,936,030
Cash collateral on securities lent	-	444,514	-	444,514
Derivative financial instruments	107,759	17,887,791	401,672	18,397,222
Financial liabilities designated at fair value	-	4,083,004	480,533	4,563,537
	5,489,188	64,516,612	882,205	70,888,005

	2015			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	£′000	£'000	£'000	£′000
Assets Trading portfolio financial assets				
	2,471,670	1,703,421	-	4,175,091
Reverse repurchase agreements - fair value	-	28,412,219	-	28,412,219
Cash collateral on securities borrowed	-	5,134,715	-	5,134,715
Financial instruments available for sale	1,476,008	640,073	109	2,116,190
Derivative financial instruments	21,084	13,449,959	224,196	13,695,239
Equity finance assets	-	408,229	-	408,229
	3,968,762	49,748,616	224,305	53,941,683
Liabilities				
Trading portfolio financial liabilities	1,854,043	158,484	-	2,012,527
Repurchase agreements - fair value	-	30,222,438	-	30,222,438
Cash collateral on securities lent	-	103,661	-	103,661
Derivative financial instruments	85,766	12,166,346	211,066	12,463,178
Financial liabilities designated at fair value	-	3,476,477	155,759	3,632,236
	1,939,809	46,127,406	366,825	48,434,040

The table below shows a reconciliation from the beginning balances to the end balances for the fair value of instruments in level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. This does not include movements in level 1 or level 2 derivatives that are also used to hedge the level 3 assets and liabilities.

			Financial liabilities	
	Derivative	tive sale	Derivative	designated
	assets	securities	liabilities	at fair value
	£′000	£′000	£′000	£′000
Opening balance 1 January 2016	224,196	109	(211,066)	(155,759)
Total gains/(losses) in profit and loss	(20,318)	16	49,660	(88,175)
Total gains/(losses) in reserves				
Purchases	-	-	-	-
Issues	-	-	-	(26,293)
Settlements	(12,902)	-	(12,999)	104,195
Transfers into level 3	115,912	-	(233,244)	(333,567)
Transfers from level 3	(6,022)	-	5,977	19,066
Closing balance 31 December 2016	300,866	125	(401,672)	(480,533)

Unrealised gains or losses for the year included in income statement for assets and liabilities held at the end of financial year:

- Net trading income 67,673 - (42,022) (63,260)

Transfers into / from level 3 represent selected structured notes which have been reclassified from / into level 2 of the hierarchy. The transfers into level 3 are driven by issued notes containing exotic embedded derivatives, primarily linked to foreign exchange rates which drive the returns and valuation of the notes. Observability of certain valuation inputs reduced following a change in the funding strategy of the Company. The move to funding provided by MUSHD, under a Global Treasury model, resulted in reduced issuance of structured notes and associated level 3 balances.

Financial instruments valued using models with unobservable inputs

The amount that has yet to be recognised in the Company income statement relating to the difference between the fair value at initial recognition (the transaction price) and the amount that would have arisen had valuation techniques used for subsequent measurement been applied at initial recognition, less subsequent releases, is as follows:

	2016	2015
	£′000	£′000
Unamortised balance at 1st January	7,288	7,595
Deferral on new transactions	-	8,503
Amortised to the profit and loss account during the financial year	(7,184)	(8,810)
Unamortised balance at 31st December	104	7,288

Financial assets designated at fair value

The Company did not have any financial assets designated at fair value at 31st December 2016 (2015: nil).

22 Fair value of financial instruments (continued)

Significant unobservable inputs

The disclosures below describe the valuation techniques and significant unobservable inputs for assets and liabilities classified as Level 3 along with the range of values used for those significant unobservable inputs. Level 3 financial liabilities designated at fair value totalling £402m (2015: £156m) contain embedded derivatives with inputs represented by the Interest rate, Foreign exchange and Equity derivatives described in the table below.

	Total assets	Total liabilities	Valuation	Significant	R	ange	
	£′000	£'000	technique(s)	unobservable inputs	Min	•	Units
Interest rate	62,298	(44,447)	Option model	IR - IR Correlation	43.16	100.00	%
				FX - IR Correlation	46.40	46.40	%
				Option volatility	21.60	100.00	%
				Prepayment probability	100.00	100.00	%
Foreign	98,794	(214,099)	Option model	IR - IR Correlation	40.26	73.95	%
exchange				FX - IR Correlation	46.40	50.65	%
derivatives				Correlation - underlying assets	85.00	85.00	%
				Option volitility	16.84	20.61	%
Equity derivatives	29,742	(33,037)	Option model	Equity - IR Correlation	33.33	33.33	%
				Equity - FX Correlation	3.00	3.00	%
				Option volatility	29.81	127.35	%
			Discounted cash flows	Transaction specific cost of funds	192.00	339.00	bps
				Term of Litigation swap	3.00	3.00	Year
Commodities	110,032	(110,089)	Option model	Correlation - underlying assets	-15.39	43.59	%
				Commodity - IR	25.00	75.00	%
				Correlation			
	300,866	(401,672)					

The following provides a summary description of significant unobservable inputs included in the table above:

- Correlation is a measure of the relationship between the movements of two variables (i.e. how much the change in one variable may be linked
 to the change in the other variable). Correlation is often an input into valuation of derivative contracts with more than one underlying risk. A
 significant increase in correlation in isolation can result in a movement in fair value that is favourable or unfavourable depending on the specific
 terms of the instrument.
- Prepayment probability is the probability of voluntary, unscheduled repayments by a borrower. Unscheduled prepayment affects the average life
 of transactions by altering the timing of principal repayment and the amount of interest earned. A significant increase in a prepayment probability
 can result in a movement in fair value that is favourable or unfavourable depending on the specific terms of the financial contract.
- Option volatility is a measure of the degree of variation in price movements for a given derivative underlying, and therefore represents an estimate of how much a particular underlying instrument, parameter or index could change on average over time. In general, a significant increase in volatility in isolation will result in an increase in fair value for the holder of a simple option.
- Term of litigation swap relates to a series of swaps linked to an asset which is affected by a legal case, where the payments under the swap terminate soon after the litigation ends. The term of the swap is therefore difficult to quantify and needs to be estimated from available information and legal opinion. A decrease in the expectation of the term of the swap would generally result in an unfavourable move in the fair value.

23 Obligations under finance leases

20 Obligations under midnes rouses		
	2016	2015
	£′000	£'000
Minimum lease payments:		
Within 1 year	258	258
Between 1 and 5 years	861	1,206
After 5 years	-	-
Amounts payable under finance leases	1,119	1,464
Add: future financing income	33	54
Present value of lease obligations	1,152	1,518
Amounts payable under finance leases:		
Within 1 year	274	279
Between 1 and 5 years	878	1,239
After 5 years	-	-
Present value of lease obligations	1,152	1,518

It is the Company's policy to lease certain of its fixtures and equipment under finance leases. The average lease term is 5 years. For the year ended 31st December 2016, the average effective borrowing rate was (1.44)% (2015: (1.44)%). Interest rates are fixed at the contract date.

All leases are on a fixed repayment basis and no arrangements have been entered into for contingent rental payments. All lease obligations are denominated in pounds sterling.

The fair value of the Company's lease obligations is approximately equal to their carrying value. The Company's obligations under finance leases are secured by the lessor's rights over the leased assets disclosed in Notes 12 and 13.

24 Post-balance sheet events

There have been no material post-balance sheet events which would require disclosure or adjustment to the 31st December 2016 Financial Statements.

25 Collateral

Assets are pledged as collateral to secure liabilities under repurchase agreements, securities lending agreements, borrowing transactions, to note holders as part of structuring transactions and agreements for derivative transactions. The following table summarises the nature and carrying amount of the assets pledged as security against these liabilities:

	2016	2015
	000°£	£′000
Trading securities	2,946,541	2,390,125
Investment securities	1,020,936	1,414,747
Total assets pledged as collateral	3,967,477	3,804,872

Under certain transactions, including reverse repurchase agreements and stock borrowing transactions, the Company is allowed to resell or repledge the collateral held. The fair value of collateral accepted with the right to repledge to others was as follows:

25 Collateral (continued)

	20	2016		015
	Sold or			Sold or
	Fair Value	repledged	Fair Value	repledged
	£′000	£'000	£'000	£′000
Accepted collateral	65,542,276	60,956,153	43,628,256	39,478,869

These balances represent substantially all of the collateral received by the Company in relation to assets. The Company has the obligation to return the collateral on the maturity date of the secured transaction. The process by which assets are pledged as collateral and accepted as collateral is conducted under the terms that are usual and customary to the business stated.

Transferred Assets

The Company enters into transactions in the normal course of business by which it transfers recognised financial assets to third parties or to special purpose entities, but the transfer does not qualify for de-recognition as stated in the accounting policies. The Company will continue to recognise financial assets transferred when it retains control of the security, retains rights to receive cash flows from the assets, and substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership. The carrying amount of debt securities that have been transferred to special purpose entities but do not meet the criteria for de-recognition are summarised below:

	2016	2015
	£′000	000°£
Securities transferred to special purpose entities	84,081	113,417

Collateral Management of Repurchase Agreements

Securities purchased under agreements to resell ("reverse repurchase agreements") and securities sold under agreements to repurchase ("repurchase agreements") are mainly collateralised by highly rated credit bonds (predominantly government and corporate bonds). The repurchase agreements are treated as collateralised financing transactions and are carried at the contract amounts at which the securities will subsequently be reacquired or resold as specified in the respective agreements. It is the Company's policy to generally take possession of securities purchased under agreements to resell at the time such agreements are made. The Company's agreements with counterparties contain provisions allowing for additional collateral to be obtained, or excess collateral returned, based on market valuations of such collateral. The Company re-values the collateral underlying its repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements on a daily basis. In the event the market value of such securities falls below the related agreement to resell at contract amount plus accrued interest, the Company will generally request additional collateral.

Unconsolidated structured entities in which the Company has an interest

The Company is involved with various special purpose entities in the normal course of business. These have been established as structured entities such that voting or similar rights are not the deciding factor in determining control of the entity.

The structured entities are typically asset repackaging transactions established to source funding, purchase credit protection or provide returns to investors that are not otherwise readily available in the market. The principle risk to the structure is the credit risk of the securities acting as collateral to the investors who retain the risk and rewards.

The following tables represent the total assets, maximum exposure to loss and assets and liabilities which relate to the Company's interest in non-consolidated special purpose vehicles ("SPVs"). Maximum exposure is determined by the carrying amount of any on-balance sheet assets net of any recourse liabilities, where applicable.

As at 31 December 2016	Investment funds £'000	SPVs for structured financing £'000	Repackaged instruments £'000	Other £′000	Total £'000
Trading portfolio financial assets	-	-	205,453	-	205,453
Derivative financial instruments	-	2,022,323	154,580	208,072	2,384,975
Reverse repurchase agreements	-	3,638	174,136	554,162	731,936
Total Assets	-	2,025,961	534,169	762,234	3,322,364
Derivative financial instruments	-	105,396	38,437	1,978	145,811
Financial liabilities designated at fair value	-	-	607,794	-	607,794
Other liabilities	-	-	173,449	111,266	284,715
Other derivative cash collateral received	-	48,069	-	29,066	77,135
Total Liabilities	-	153,465	819,680	142,310	1,115,455
Maximum Exposure	-	1,977,892	360,720	623,951	2,962,563

		SPVs for			
	Investment	structured	Repackaged		
	funds	financing	instruments	Other	Total
As at 31 December 2015	£'000	£′000	£′000	£'000	£′000
Trading portfolio financial assets	123,234	-	59,243	-	182,477
Derivative financial instruments	1,346	1,440,004	289,501	155,907	1,886,758
Reverse repurchase agreements	-	304,683	-	-	304,683
Total Assets	124,580	1,744,687	348,744	155,907	2,373,918
Derivative financial instruments	-	98,629	30,120	-	128,749
Repurchase agreements	-	24,398	-	-	24,398
Financial liabilities designated at fair value	-	-	480,236	-	480,236
Other liabilities	-	150,321	-	-	150,321
Total Liabilities	-	273,348	510,356	-	783,704
Maximum Exposure	124,346	1,569,968	348,744	155,907	2,198,965

26 Guarantees, commitments and contingent liabilities

At the year end, the Company was committed to provide collateralised financing facilities in favour of two investment grade financial counterparties. The facilities are provided in major currencies and total £204.5 million (2015: £168.4 million) equivalent; none had been drawn against at year end. The facilities are required to be fully collateralised from a range of pre-defined debt securities limited to US Treasuries and highly rated government or supra-national bonds.

At the date of signing this report, the above two agreements were extended until 31st January 2018.

27 Contractual maturity analysis

The breakdown of financial liabilities by contractual maturity, which is based on the contractual terms, is shown in the table below. The balances do not agree directly to the balances in the balance sheet as the table incorporates contractual cash flows on an undiscounted basis. The repurchase agreement balances are presented on a gross basis, not taking account of any balances which have been offset in the balance sheet. Derivative contracts are reflected as on demand at their fair value. The subordinated liabilities bear interest at variable rates which are not known until specified fixing dates have occurred.

			Due between 3	Due between 1		
		Due within	and 12	and 5	Over	
0	n demand	3 months	months	years	5 years	Total
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Deposits by banks	72,392	-	-	-	-	72,392
Trading portfolio financial liabilities	5,546,702	-	-	-	-	5,546,702
Repurchase agreements	977,820	51,287,478	1,223,320	334,196	-	53,822,814
Cash collateral on securities lent	444,514	-	-	-	-	444,514
Cash collateral received from derivatives counterparties	7,853,174	-	-	-	-	7,853,174
Derivatives	18,397,222	-	-	-	-	18,397,222
Financial liabilities designated at fair value	-	789,211	1,822,360	1,193,463	945,785	4,750,819
Other liabilities	388,985	42,882	6,235	28,658	-	466,760
Subordinated liabilities	-	-	2,537	11,012	327,142	340,691
3	3,680,809	52,119,571	3,054,452	1,567,329	1,272,927	91,695,088

0	04	
	υı	ю

c	n demand £′000	Due within 3 months £'000	Due between 3 and 12 months £'000	Due between 1 and 5 years £'000	Over 5 years £′000	Total £′000
Deposits by banks	92,149	-	-	-	-	92,149
Trading portfolio financial liabilities	2,012,527	-	-	-	-	2,012,527
Repurchase agreements	1,254,439	36,212,084	1,052,849	373,138	-	38,892,510
Cash collateral on securities lent	17,934	85,727	-	-	-	103,661
Cash collateral received from derivatives counterparties	5,595,127	-	-	-	-	5,595,127
Derivatives	12,463,178	-	-	-	-	12,463,178
Financial liabilities designated at fair value	- k	583,039	765,812	1,194,561	1,191,221	3,734,633
Other liabilities	426,265	29,570	8,938	28,728	-	493,501
Subordinated liabilities	-	-	6,233	692,317	-	698,550
	21,861,619	36,910,420	1,833,832	2,288,744	1,191,221	64,085,836

The Company holds liquid assets comprising cash and cash equivalents and investment securities for which there is an active and liquid market. These assets can be readily sold to meet liquidity requirements. Financial liabilities designated at fair value include certain note issuances with structured payment profiles. The notes are issued across a range of currencies and interest rate profiles, with the most prevalent being JPY floating rate notes. The Company issue these notes to raise term funding and satisfy investor demand, and carries them at fair value through profit and loss. Some of the notes may be redeemed prior to maturity subject to certain knock-out events, at the option of the issuer or holder of the debt. These factors are contractually specified at the point of issuance.

28 Operating lease arrangements

The Company as lessee

	2016	2015
	£′000	£′000
Lease payments under operating leases recognised as an expense in the year	844	3,977

At the balance sheet date, the Company had outstanding commitments for future minimum lease payments under non-cancellable operating leases, which fall due as follows:

	2016	2015
	£′000	£'000
Buildings		
Within 1 year	4,170	3,951
Between 1 - 2 years	4,170	5,268
Between 2 - 5 years	12,510	15,804
After 5 years	33,360	47,411
	54,210	72,434

Operating lease payments represent rentals payable by the Company for certain office properties and data centres. Building leases are negotiated for an average term of 20 years.

29 Offsetting financial assets and financial liabilities

In accordance with IAS 32 Financial Instruments: Presentation, the group reports financial assets and financial liabilities on a net basis on the balance sheet only if there is a legally enforceable right to set off the recognised amounts and there is intention to settle on a net basis, or to realise the asset and settle the liability simultaneously. The following table shows the impact of netting arrangements on:

- All financial assets and liabilities that are reported net on the balance sheet; and
- All derivative financial instruments, reverse repurchase and repurchase agreements and other similar secured lending and borrowing
 agreements that are subject to enforceable master netting arrangements or similar agreements, but do not qualify for balance sheet netting.

The table identifies the amounts that have been offset in the balance sheet and also those amounts that are covered by enforceable netting arrangements (offsetting arrangements and financial collateral) but do not qualify for netting under the requirements of IAS 32 described above.

The 'Net amounts' presented in the following table are not intended to represent the Group's actual exposure to credit risk, as a variety of credit mitigation strategies are employed in addition to netting and collateral arrangements.

29 Offsetting financial assets and financial liabilities (continued)

Amounts subject to enforceable netting arrangements

	Amounts subject to emolocubic netting arrangements								
	Effects of of	Effects of offsetting on balance sheet Related amounts				nts not offset			
	Gross amounts	Amounts offset		Financial instruments	Cash collateral	Financial collateral	amount	Not subject to enforceable netting	Balance sheet total
A . 04 D	£'000	£′000	£′000	£′000	£′000	£′000	£′000		£′000
As at 31 December 2016 Derivative financial assets	41177.070	00.005.540	17050101	10 557005	1.450.750	00.010	2 000 270	1044000	10.007.007
Reverse repurchase agreements	41,177,670 54.199.409	23,225,549 11,862,053	17,952,121 42,337,356	12,557,985 4,025,367	1,459,756 79,090	36,010 38,182,719	3,898,370 50,180	1,344,906 247,081	19,297,027 42,584,437
Secured lending	7.475.898	11,002,000	7.475.898	48.539	79,090	7.373.924	53,435	348,411	7,824,309
Total Assets	102.852.977	35,087,602	, .,,	16.631.891	1 520 0/6	45.592.653	4.001.985	1.940.398	69.705.773
Total Assets	102,052,977	35,067,602	07,700,375	10,031,091	1,330,040	45,592,655	4,001,965	1,340,336	09,703,773
Derivative financial liabilities	40,865,379	23,428,106	17,437,273	12,557,985	2,274,896	855,755	1,748,637	959,949	18,397,222
Repurchase agreements	53,791,141	11,862,053	41,929,088	4,025,367	-	37,862,019	41,702	6,942	41,936,030
Secured borrowing	444,514	-	444,514	48,539	-	391,136	4,839	-	444,514
Total Liabilities	95,101,034	35,290,159	59,810,875	16,631,891	2,274,896	39,108,910	1,795,178	966,891	60,777,766
As at 31 December 2015									
Derivative financial assets	27,567,517	14,981,751	12,585,767	8,479,223	662,500	4,021	3,440,023	1,109,472	13,695,239
Reverse repurchase agreements	36,235,185	8,375,755	27,859,431	2,239,566	-	25,478,094	141,771	689,270	28,548,701
Secured lending	4,887,644	-	4,887,644	14,680	-	4,800,098	72,866	247,071	5,134,715
Total Liabilities	68,690,346	23,357,506	45,332,841	10,733,469	662,500	30,282,213	3,654,660	2,045,814	47,378,654
Derivative financial liabilities	26,500,795	15,211,286	11,289,509	8,479,223	1,110,547	533,116	1,166,622	1,173,669	12,463,178
Repurchase agreements	38,882,394	8,375,755	30,506,639	2,239,566	-	28,206,428	60,645	10,561	30,517,200
Secured borrowing	103,661	-	103,661	14,680	-	88,872	109	-	103,661
Total Liabilities	65,486,849	23,587,041	41,899,808	10,733,469	1,110,547	28,828,417	1,227,377	1,184,230	43,084,038

30 Related party transactions

Balances and transactions between the Company and related parties within MUFG are disclosed below.

	2016	2015	
	£′000	£′000	
Income	59,043	55,942	
Expenses	23,589	19,841	
Total assets	20,061,268	15,857,585	
Total liabilities	12,047,564	9,351,728	

All related parties are wholly owned subsidiaries of MUFG, with the exception of Morgan Stanley Group companies, which are included due to their affiliates status with MUFG.

Transactions executed with related parties are entered into at market price on an arm's length basis.

No provisions have been made for doubtful debts in respect of the amounts owed by related parties.

Income includes fee allocations from Equity and Structuring businesses. Expenses includes interest for subordinated loans and management fees paid to the parent company as well as fees paid for a keepwell and guarantees in place between MUS(EMEA), BTMU and MUFG. Total assets include the credit derivative, which is a BTMU guarantee, referred to in note 10.

There are no material related party transactions with key management, and persons connected with them, other than remuneration disclosed in Note 21.

31 Group information

MUFG Securities EMEA plc is a company incorporated in the United Kingdom under the Companies Act. The address of the registered office is given on page 3. The principal activities of the Company and the nature of the Company's operations are set out in the Strategic Report on page 2 to 3.

The Company's immediate parent undertaking is Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings Co., Ltd., a company registered in Japan. The Company's ultimate parent company and ultimate controlling party is Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, incorporated in Japan.

The audited consolidated financial statements of Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings Co., Ltd. are made available to the public annually and may be obtained from its registered office at:

Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Holdings Co., Ltd. 5-2, Marunouchi 2-chome Chiyoda-ku Tokyo 100-0005 Japan